

-NoValue-

syn.1 Propositional Fórmulas

pl:syn:fml:
sec **Fórmulas** of propositional logic are built up from *variáveis proposicionais*, the propositional constant $\perp$ and the propositional constant $\top$ using *logical connectives*.

1. A **infinito enumerável** set At_0 of *variáveis proposicionais* $p_0, p_1, \dots$
2. The propositional constant for **falsidade** $\perp$.
3. The propositional constant for **verdade** $\top$.
4. The logical connectives: $\neg$ (negation), $\wedge$ (conjunction), $\vee$ (disjunction), $\rightarrow$ (**condicional**), $\leftrightarrow$ (**bicondicional**)
5. Punctuation marks: $(,)$, and the comma.

We denote this language of propositional logic by $\mathcal{L}_0$.

You may be familiar with different terminology and symbols than the ones intro we use above. Logic texts (and teachers) commonly use either $\sim$, $\neg$, and $!$ for “negation”, $\wedge$, $\cdot$, and $\&$ for “conjunction”. Commonly used symbols for the “conditional” or “implication” are $\rightarrow$, $\Rightarrow$, and $\supset$. Symbols for “biconditional,” “bi-implication,” or “(material) equivalence” are $\leftrightarrow$, $\Leftrightarrow$, and $\equiv$. The $\perp$ symbol is variously called “falsity,” “falsum,” “absurdity,” or “bottom.” The $\top$ symbol is variously called “truth,” “verum,” or “top.”

pl:syn:fml:
defn:formulas **Definição syn.1 (Formula).** The set $\text{Frm}(\mathcal{L}_0)$ of *fórmulas* of propositional logic is defined inductively as follows:

1. $\perp$ is an atomic **fórmula**.
2. $\top$ is an atomic **fórmula**.
3. Every **variável proposicional** p_i is an atomic **fórmula**.
4. If φ is a **fórmula**, then $\neg\varphi$ is a **fórmula**.
5. If φ and ψ are **fórmulas**, then $(\varphi \wedge \psi)$ is a **fórmula**.
6. If φ and ψ are **fórmulas**, then $(\varphi \vee \psi)$ is a **fórmula**.
7. If φ and ψ are **fórmulas**, then $(\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$ is a **fórmula**.
8. If φ and ψ are **fórmulas**, then $(\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi)$ is a **fórmula**.
9. Nothing else is a **fórmula**.

explanation

The definition of **fórmulas** is an *inductive definition*. Essentially, we construct the set of **fórmulas** in infinitely many stages. In the initial stage, we pronounce all atomic formulas to be formulas; this corresponds to the first few cases of the definition, O.s., the cases for $\top$, $\perp$, p_i . “Atomic **fórmula**” thus means any **fórmula** of this form.

The other cases of the definition give rules for constructing new **fórmulas** out of **fórmulas** already constructed. At the second stage, we can use them to construct **fórmulas** out of atomic **fórmulas**. At the third stage, we construct new formulas from the atomic formulas and those obtained in the second stage, and so on. A **fórmula** is anything that is eventually constructed at such a stage, and nothing else.

When writing a formula $(\psi * \chi)$ constructed from ψ , χ using a two-place connective $*$, we will often leave out the outermost pair of parentheses and write simply $\psi * \chi$.

Definição syn.2 (Syntactic identity). The symbol $\equiv$ expresses syntactic identity between strings of symbols, O.s., $\varphi \equiv \psi$ iff φ and ψ are strings of symbols of the same length and which contain the same symbol in each place.

The $\equiv$ symbol may be flanked by strings obtained by concatenation, p.ex., $\varphi \equiv (\psi \vee \chi)$ means: the string of symbols φ is the same string as the one obtained by concatenating an opening parenthesis, the string ψ , the $\vee$ symbol, the string χ , and a closing parenthesis, in this order. If this is the case, then we know that the first symbol of φ is an opening parenthesis, φ contains ψ as a substring (starting at the second symbol), that substring is followed by $\vee$, etc.

Créditos das Imagens

Referências Bibliográficas