



Figura 1: Counterexample to $p \rightarrow \Diamond p \models \Box p \rightarrow p$.

nml:syn:ent:
fig:counterex

-NoValue-

syn.1 Entailment

nml:syn:ent:
sec

With the definition of truth at a world, we can define an entailment relation [between fórmulas](#). A fórmula ψ entails φ iff, whenever ψ is true, φ is true as well. Here, “whenever” means both “whichever model we consider” as well as “whichever world in that model we consider.” [explanation](#)

Definição syn.1. If Γ is a set of fórmulas and φ a fórmula, then Γ entails φ , in symbols: $\Gamma \models \varphi$, if and only if for every model $\mathfrak{M} = \langle W, R, V \rangle$ and world $w \in W$, if $\mathfrak{M}, w \models \psi$ for every $\psi \in \Gamma$, then $\mathfrak{M}, w \models \varphi$. If Γ contains a single fórmula ψ , then we write $\psi \models \varphi$.

Exemplo syn.2. To show that a fórmula entails another, we have to reason about all models, using the definition of $\mathfrak{M}, w \models$. For instance, to show $p \rightarrow \Diamond p \models \Box \neg p \rightarrow \neg p$, we might argue as follows: Consider a model $\mathfrak{M} = \langle W, R, V \rangle$ and $w \in W$, and suppose $\mathfrak{M}, w \models p \rightarrow \Diamond p$. We have to show that $\mathfrak{M}, w \models \Box \neg p \rightarrow \neg p$. Suppose not. Then $\mathfrak{M}, w \models \Box \neg p$ and $\mathfrak{M}, w \not\models \neg p$. Since $\mathfrak{M}, w \not\models \neg p$, $\mathfrak{M}, w \models p$. By assumption, $\mathfrak{M}, w \models p \rightarrow \Diamond p$, hence $\mathfrak{M}, w \models \Diamond p$. By definition of $\mathfrak{M}, w \models \Diamond p$, there is some w' with Rww' such that $\mathfrak{M}, w' \models p$. Since also $\mathfrak{M}, w \models \Box \neg p$, $\mathfrak{M}, w' \models \neg p$, a contradiction.

To show that a fórmula ψ does not entail another φ , we have to give a counterexample, O.s., a model $\mathfrak{M} = \langle W, R, V \rangle$ where we show that at some world $w \in W$, $\mathfrak{M}, w \models \psi$ but $\mathfrak{M}, w \not\models \varphi$. Let's show that $p \rightarrow \Diamond p \not\models \Box p \rightarrow p$. Consider the model in [Figura 1](#). We have $\mathfrak{M}, w_1 \models \Diamond p$ and hence $\mathfrak{M}, w_1 \models p \rightarrow \Diamond p$. However, since $\mathfrak{M}, w_1 \models \Box p$ but $\mathfrak{M}, w_1 \not\models p$, we have $\mathfrak{M}, w_1 \not\models \Box p \rightarrow p$.

Often very simple counterexamples suffice. The model $\mathfrak{M}' = \{W', R', V'\}$ with $W' = \{w\}$, $R' = \emptyset$, and $V'(p) = \emptyset$ is also a counterexample: Since $\mathfrak{M}', w \not\models p$, $\mathfrak{M}', w \models p \rightarrow \Diamond p$. As no worlds are accessible from w , we have $\mathfrak{M}', w \models \Box p$, and so $\mathfrak{M}', w \not\models \Box p \rightarrow p$.

Problema syn.1. Show that $\Box(\varphi \wedge \psi) \models \Box\varphi$.

Problema syn.2. Show that $\Box(p \rightarrow q) \not\models p \rightarrow \Box q$ and $p \rightarrow \Box q \not\models \Box(p \rightarrow q)$.

Créditos das Imagens

Referências Bibliográficas