

-NoValue-

seq.1 Rules for K

nml:seq:rul:
sec

The rules for the regular propositional connectives are the same as for regular sequent calculus **LK**. Axioms are also the same: any sequent of the form $\varphi \Rightarrow \varphi$ counts as an axiom.

For the modal operators $\Box$ and $\Diamond$, we have the following additional rules:

$$\frac{\Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta, \varphi}{\Box \Gamma \Rightarrow \Diamond \Delta, \Box \varphi} \Box \quad \frac{\varphi, \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta}{\Diamond \varphi, \Box \Gamma \Rightarrow \Diamond \Delta} \Diamond$$

Here, $\Box \Gamma$ means the sequence of **fórmulas** resulting from Γ by putting $\Box$ in front of every **fórmula** in Γ and $\Diamond \Delta$ is the sequence of **fórmulas** resulting from Δ by putting $\Diamond$ in front of every **fórmula** in Δ . Γ and Δ may be empty; in that case the corresponding part $\Box \Gamma$ and $\Diamond \Delta$ of the conclusion sequent is empty as well.

The restriction of adding a $\Box$ on the right and $\Diamond$ on the left to a single **fórmula** φ is necessary. If we allowed to add $\Box$ to any number of **fórmulas** on the right or to add $\Diamond$ to any number of **fórmulas** on the left we would be able to **deriva**:

$$\frac{\frac{\varphi \Rightarrow \varphi}{\Rightarrow \varphi, \neg \varphi} \neg R}{\Rightarrow \Box \varphi, \Box \neg \varphi} \Box * \quad \frac{\frac{\varphi \Rightarrow \varphi}{\neg \varphi, \varphi \Rightarrow} \neg L}{\Diamond \neg \varphi, \Diamond \varphi \Rightarrow} \Diamond * \quad \frac{\frac{\Rightarrow \Box \varphi, \Box \neg \varphi}{\Rightarrow \Box \varphi \vee \Box \neg \varphi} \vee R}{\Rightarrow \Diamond \varphi \rightarrow \neg \Diamond \neg \varphi} \rightarrow R$$

But $\Box \varphi \vee \Box \neg \varphi$ and $\Diamond \varphi \rightarrow \neg \Diamond \neg \varphi$ are not valid in **K**.

If we allowed side formulas in addition to φ in the premise, and allowed the $\Box$ rule to add $\Box$ to only φ on the right, or allowed the $\Diamond$ rule to add $\Diamond$ to only φ on the left (but do nothing to the side formulas) we would be able to **deriva**:

$$\frac{\frac{\varphi \Rightarrow \varphi}{\Rightarrow \varphi, \neg \varphi} \neg R}{\Rightarrow \neg \varphi, \varphi} XR \quad \frac{\frac{\varphi \Rightarrow \varphi}{\neg \varphi, \varphi \Rightarrow} \neg L}{\Diamond \neg \varphi, \varphi \Rightarrow} \Diamond * \quad \frac{\frac{\Rightarrow \neg \varphi, \varphi}{\Rightarrow \neg \varphi, \Box \varphi} \Box *}{\Rightarrow \neg \varphi \vee \Box \varphi} \vee R \quad \frac{\frac{\varphi \Rightarrow \varphi}{\neg \varphi, \varphi \Rightarrow} \neg L}{\Diamond \neg \varphi, \varphi \Rightarrow} \Diamond * \quad \frac{\frac{\varphi \Rightarrow \neg \Diamond \neg \varphi}{\Rightarrow \varphi \rightarrow \neg \Diamond \neg \varphi} \rightarrow R}{\Rightarrow \varphi \rightarrow \neg \Diamond \neg \varphi} \rightarrow R$$

But $\neg \varphi \vee \Box \varphi$ (which is equivalent to $\varphi \rightarrow \Box \varphi$) and $\varphi \rightarrow \neg \Diamond \neg \varphi$ are not valid in **K**.

Créditos das Imagens

Referências Bibliográficas