

-NoValue-

mar.1 Non-Standard Models

We call a **estrutura** for $\mathcal{L}_A$ standard if it is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{N}$. If a **estrutura** isn't isomorphic to $\mathfrak{N}$, it is called non-standard. explanation

Definição mar.1. A **estrutura** $\mathfrak{M}$ for $\mathcal{L}_A$ is *non-standard* if it is not isomorphic to $\mathfrak{N}$. The **membros** $x \in |\mathfrak{M}|$ which are equal to $\text{Val}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\bar{n})$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ are called *standard numbers* (of $\mathfrak{M}$), and those not, *non-standard numbers*.

By ??, any standard **estrutura** for $\mathcal{L}_A$ contains only standard **membros**. Consequently, a non-standard **estrutura** must contain at least one non-standard element. In fact, the existence of a non-standard **membro** guarantees that the **estrutura** is non-standard. explanation

Proposição mar.2. *If a **estrutura** $\mathfrak{M}$ for $\mathcal{L}_A$ contains a non-standard number, $\mathfrak{M}$ is non-standard.*

Prova. Suppose not, O.s., suppose $\mathfrak{M}$ standard but contains a non-standard number x . Let $g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow |\mathfrak{M}|$ be an isomorphism. It is easy to see (by induction on n) that $g(\text{Val}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\bar{n})) = \text{Val}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\bar{n})$. In other words, g maps standard numbers of $\mathfrak{N}$ to standard numbers of $\mathfrak{M}$. If $\mathfrak{M}$ contains a non-standard number, g cannot be **sobrejetiva**, contrary to hypothesis. $\square$

Problema mar.1. Recall that **Q** contains the axioms

$$\forall x \forall y (x' = y' \rightarrow x = y) \quad (Q_1)$$

$$\forall x \ 0 \neq x' \quad (Q_2)$$

$$\forall x (x = 0 \vee \exists y x = y') \quad (Q_3)$$

Give **estruturas** $\mathfrak{M}_1, \mathfrak{M}_2, \mathfrak{M}_3$ such that

1. $\mathfrak{M}_1 \models Q_1, \mathfrak{M}_1 \models Q_2, \mathfrak{M}_1 \not\models Q_3$;
2. $\mathfrak{M}_2 \models Q_1, \mathfrak{M}_2 \not\models Q_2, \mathfrak{M}_2 \models Q_3$; and
3. $\mathfrak{M}_3 \not\models Q_1, \mathfrak{M}_3 \models Q_2, \mathfrak{M}_3 \models Q_3$;

Obviously, you just have to specify $0^{\mathfrak{M}_i}$ and $1^{\mathfrak{M}_i}$ for each.

It is easy enough to specify non-standard **estruturas** for $\mathcal{L}_A$. For instance, take the structure with **domínio** $\mathbb{Z}$ and interpret all non-logical symbols as usual. Since negative numbers are not values of $\bar{n}$ for any n , this structure is non-standard. Of course, it will not be a *model* of arithmetic in the sense that it makes the same sentences true as $\mathfrak{N}$. For instance, $\forall x x' \neq 0$ is false. However, we can prove that non-standard models of arithmetic exist easily enough, using the compactness theorem. explanation

Proposição mar.3. *Let $\mathbf{TA} = \{\varphi : \mathfrak{N} \models \varphi\}$ be the theory of $\mathfrak{N}$. $\mathbf{TA}$ has a enumerável non-standard model.*

Prova. Expand $\mathcal{L}_A$ by a new símbolo de constante c and consider the set of sentenças

$$\Gamma = \mathbf{TA} \cup \{c \neq \bar{0}, c \neq \bar{1}, c \neq \bar{2}, \dots\}$$

Any model $\mathfrak{M}^c$ of Γ would contain um membro $x = c^{\mathfrak{M}}$ which is non-standard, since $x \neq \text{Val}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\bar{n})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Also, obviously, $\mathfrak{M}^c \models \mathbf{TA}$, since $\mathbf{TA} \subseteq \Gamma$. If we turn $\mathfrak{M}^c$ into a estrutura $\mathfrak{M}$ for $\mathcal{L}_A$ simply by forgetting about c , its domain still contains the non-standard x , and also $\mathfrak{M} \models \mathbf{TA}$. The latter is guaranteed since c does not occur in $\mathbf{TA}$. So, it suffices to show that Γ has a model.

We use the compactness theorem to show that Γ has a model. If every finite subset of Γ is satisfiable, so is Γ . Consider any finite subset $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \Gamma$. Γ_0 includes some sentenças of $\mathbf{TA}$ and some of the form $c \neq \bar{n}$, but only finitely many. Suppose k is the largest number so that $c \neq \bar{k} \in \Gamma_0$. Define $\mathfrak{N}_k$ by expanding $\mathfrak{N}$ to include the interpretation $c^{\mathfrak{N}_k} = k + 1$. $\mathfrak{N}_k \models \Gamma_0$: if $\varphi \in \mathbf{TA}$, $\mathfrak{N}_k \models \varphi$ since $\mathfrak{N}_k$ is just like $\mathfrak{N}$ in all respects except c , and c does not occur in φ . And $\mathfrak{N}_k \models c \neq \bar{n}$, since $n \leq k$, and $\text{Val}^{\mathfrak{N}_k}(c) = k + 1$. Thus, every finite subset of Γ is satisfiable. $\square$

Créditos das Imagens

Referências Bibliográficas