

-NoValue-

mar.1 Models of $\mathbf{Q}$

We know that there are non-standard **estruturas** that make the same **sentenças** explanation true as $\mathfrak{N}$ does, O.s., is a model of $\mathbf{TA}$. Since $\mathfrak{N} \models \mathbf{Q}$, any model of $\mathbf{TA}$ is also a model of $\mathbf{Q}$. $\mathbf{Q}$ is much weaker than $\mathbf{TA}$, p.ex., $\mathbf{Q} \not\models \forall x \forall y (x + y) = (y + x)$. Weaker theories are easier to satisfy: they have more models. P.ex., $\mathbf{Q}$ has models which make $\forall x \forall y (x + y) = (y + x)$ false, but those cannot also be models of $\mathbf{TA}$, or $\mathbf{PA}$ for that matter. Models of $\mathbf{Q}$ are also relatively simple: we can specify them explicitly.

mod:mar:mdq:
ex:model-K-of-Q **Exemplo mar.1.** Consider the **estrutura** $\mathfrak{K}$ with domain $|\mathfrak{K}| = \mathbb{N} \cup \{a\}$ and interpretations

$$\begin{aligned} 0^{\mathfrak{K}} &= 0 \\ \iota^{\mathfrak{K}}(x) &= \begin{cases} x + 1 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{N} \\ a & \text{if } x = a \end{cases} \\ +^{\mathfrak{K}}(x, y) &= \begin{cases} x + y & \text{if } x, y \in \mathbb{N} \\ a & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \times^{\mathfrak{K}}(x, y) &= \begin{cases} xy & \text{if } x, y \in \mathbb{N} \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \text{ or } y = 0 \\ a & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ <^{\mathfrak{K}} &= \{\langle x, y \rangle : x, y \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } x < y\} \cup \{\langle x, a \rangle : x \in |\mathfrak{K}|\} \end{aligned}$$

To show that $\mathfrak{K} \models \mathbf{Q}$ we have to verify that all axioms of $\mathbf{Q}$ are true in $\mathfrak{K}$. For convenience, let's write x^* for $\iota^{\mathfrak{K}}(x)$ (the “successor” of x in $\mathfrak{K}$), $x \oplus y$ for $+^{\mathfrak{K}}(x, y)$ (the “sum” of x and y in $\mathfrak{K}$), $x \otimes y$ for $\times^{\mathfrak{K}}(x, y)$ (the “product” of x and y in $\mathfrak{K}$), and $x \odot y$ for $\langle x, y \rangle \in <^{\mathfrak{K}}$. With these abbreviations, we can give the operations in $\mathfrak{K}$ more perspicuously as

x	x^*	$x \oplus y$	0	m	a	$x \otimes y$	0	m	a
n	$n + 1$	0	0	m	a	0	0	0	0
a	a	n	n	$n + m$	a	n	0	nm	a
		a	a	a	a	a	0	a	a

We have $n \odot m$ iff $n < m$ for $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \odot a$ for all $x \in |\mathfrak{K}|$.

$\mathfrak{K} \models \forall x \forall y (x' = y' \rightarrow x = y)$ since $*$ is **injetiva**. $\mathfrak{K} \models \forall x 0 \neq x'$ since 0 is not a $*$ -successor in $\mathfrak{K}$. $\mathfrak{K} \models \forall x (x = 0 \vee \exists y x = y')$ since for every $n > 0$, $n = (n - 1)^*$, and $a = a^*$.

$\mathfrak{K} \models \forall x (x + 0) = x$ since $n \oplus 0 = n + 0 = n$, and $a \oplus 0 = a$ by definition of $\oplus$. $\mathfrak{K} \models \forall x \forall y (x + y') = (x + y)'$ is a bit trickier. If n, m are both standard, we have:

$$(n \oplus m^*) = (n + (m + 1)) = (n + m) + 1 = (n \oplus m)^*$$

since $\oplus$ and $*$ agree with $+$ and $\cdot$ on standard numbers. Now suppose $x \in |\mathfrak{K}|$. Then

$$(x \oplus a^*) = (x \oplus a) = a = a^* = (x \oplus a)^*$$

The remaining case is if $y \in |\mathfrak{K}|$ but $x = a$. Here we also have to distinguish cases according to whether $y = n$ is standard or $y = b$:

$$\begin{aligned} (a \oplus n^*) &= (a \oplus (n+1)) = a = a^* = (a \oplus n)^* \\ (a \oplus a^*) &= (a \oplus a) = a = a^* = (a \oplus a)^* \end{aligned}$$

This is of course a bit more detailed than needed. For instance, since $a \oplus z = a$ whatever z is, we can immediately conclude $a \oplus a^* = a$. The remaining axioms can be verified the same way.

$\mathfrak{K}$ is thus a model of $\mathbf{Q}$. Its “addition” $\oplus$ is also commutative. But there are other sentences true in $\mathfrak{N}$ but false in $\mathfrak{K}$, and vice versa. For instance, $a \otimes a$, so $\mathfrak{K} \models \exists x x < x$ and $\mathfrak{K} \not\models \forall x \neg x < x$. This shows that $\mathbf{Q} \not\models \forall x \neg x < x$.

Problema mar.1. Prove that $\mathfrak{K}$ from [Exemplo mar.1](#) satisfies the remaining axioms of $\mathbf{Q}$,

$$\forall x (x \times 0) = 0 \tag{Q_6}$$

$$\forall x \forall y (x \times y') = ((x \times y) + x) \tag{Q_7}$$

$$\forall x \forall y (x < y \leftrightarrow \exists z (z' + x) = y) \tag{Q_8}$$

Find a [sentença](#) only involving $\cdot$ true in $\mathfrak{N}$ but false in $\mathfrak{K}$.

Exemplo mar.2. Consider the [estrutura](#) $\mathfrak{L}$ with domain $|\mathfrak{L}| = \mathbb{N} \cup \{a, b\}$ and interpretations $\cdot^{\mathfrak{L}} = *$, $+^{\mathfrak{L}} = \oplus$ given by [mod:mar:mdq:ex:model-L-of-Q](#)

x	x^*	$x \oplus y$	m	a	b
n	$n+1$	n	$n+m$	b	a
a	a	a	a	b	a
b	b	b	b	b	a

Since $*$ is [injetiva](#), 0 is not in its range, and every $x \in |\mathfrak{L}|$ other than 0 is, axioms Q_1 – Q_3 are true in $\mathfrak{L}$. For any x , $x \oplus 0 = x$, so Q_4 is true as well. For Q_5 , consider $x \oplus y^*$ and $(x \oplus y)^*$. They are equal if x and y are both standard, since then $*$ and $\oplus$ agree with $\cdot$ and $+$. If x is non-standard, and y is standard, we have $x \oplus y^* = x = x^* = (x \oplus y)^*$. If x and y are both non-standard, we have four cases:

$$\begin{aligned} a \oplus a^* &= b = b^* = (a \oplus a)^* \\ b \oplus b^* &= a = a^* = (b \oplus b)^* \\ b \oplus a^* &= b = b^* = (b \oplus y)^* \\ a \oplus b^* &= a = a^* = (a \oplus b)^* \end{aligned}$$

If x is standard, but y is non-standard, we have

$$\begin{aligned} n \oplus a^* &= n \oplus a = b = b^* = (n \oplus a)^* \\ n \oplus b^* &= n \oplus b = a = a^* = (n \oplus b)^* \end{aligned}$$

So, $\mathcal{L} \models Q_5$. However, $a \oplus 0 \neq 0 \oplus a$, so $\mathcal{L} \not\models \forall x \forall y (x + y) = (y + x)$.

Problema mar.2. Expand $\mathcal{L}$ of [Exemplo mar.2](#) to include $\otimes$ and $\oslash$ that interpret $\times$ and $<$. Show that your structure satisfies the remaining axioms of $\mathbf{Q}$,

$$\forall x (x \times 0) = 0 \quad (Q_6)$$

$$\forall x \forall y (x \times y') = ((x \times y) + x) \quad (Q_7)$$

$$\forall x \forall y (x < y \leftrightarrow \exists z (z' + x) = y) \quad (Q_8)$$

Problema mar.3. In $\mathcal{L}$ of [Exemplo mar.2](#), $a^* = a$ and $b^* = b$. Is there a model of $\mathbf{Q}$ in which $a^* = b$ and $b^* = a$?

We've explicitly constructed models of $\mathbf{Q}$ in which the non-standard [membros](#) live “beyond” the standard elements. In fact, that much is required by the axioms. A non-standard [membro](#) x cannot be $\oslash 0$, since $\mathbf{Q} \vdash \forall x \neg x < 0$ (see ??). Also, for every n , $\mathbf{Q} \vdash \forall x (x < \bar{n}' \rightarrow (x = \bar{0} \vee x = \bar{1} \vee \dots \vee x = \bar{n}))$ (??), so we can't have $a \oslash n$ for any $n > 0$.

Créditos das Imagens

Referências Bibliográficas