

-NoValue-

inf.1 Gödel logics

mv:inf:god:
sec

This is a short “stub” of a section on infinite-valued Gödel logic.

mv:inf:god:
def:goedel

Definição inf.1. Infinite-valued Gödel logic $\mathbf{G}_\infty$ is defined using the matrix:

1. The standard propositional language $\mathcal{L}_0$ with $\perp, \neg, \wedge, \vee, \rightarrow$.
2. The set of truth values V_∞ .
3. 1 is the only designated value, O.s., $V^+ = \{1\}$.
4. Truth functions are given by the following functions:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\perp} &= 0 \\ \tilde{\neg}_{\mathbf{G}}(x) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \\ \tilde{\wedge}_{\mathbf{G}}(x, y) &= \min(x, y) \\ \tilde{\vee}_{\mathbf{G}}(x, y) &= \max(x, y) \\ \tilde{\rightarrow}_{\mathbf{G}}(x, y) &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \leq y \\ y & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

m -valued Gödel logic is defined the same, except $V = V_m$.

Proposição inf.2. The logic $\mathbf{G}_3$ defined by ?? is the same as $\mathbf{G}_3$ defined by *Definição inf.1*.

Prova. This can be seen by comparing the truth tables for the connectives given in ?? with the truth tables determined by the equations in *Definição inf.1*:

$\tilde{\neg}_{\mathbf{G}_3}$		$\tilde{\wedge}_{\mathbf{G}}$	1	1/2	0
1	0	1	1	1/2	0
1/2	0	1/2	1/2	1/2	0
0	1	0	0	0	0

$\tilde{\vee}_{\mathbf{G}}$	1	1/2	0	$\tilde{\rightarrow}_{\mathbf{G}}$	1	1/2	0
1	1	1	1	1	1	1/2	0
1/2	1	1/2	1/2	1/2	1	1	0
0	1	1/2	0	0	1	1	1

□

Proposição inf.3. If $\Gamma \models_{\mathbf{G}_\infty} \psi$ then $\Gamma \models_{\mathbf{G}_m} \psi$ for all $m \geq 2$.

mul:inf:god:
prop:god-infity-m

Prova. Exercise. □

Problema inf.1. Prove **Proposição inf.3**.

In fact, the converse holds as well.

Like $\mathbf{G}_3$, $\mathbf{G}_\infty$ has all intuitionistically valid **fórmulas** as tautologies, and the same examples of non-tautologies are non-tautologies of $\mathbf{G}_\infty$:

$$\begin{array}{ll} p \vee \neg p & (p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (\neg p \vee q) \\ \neg \neg p \rightarrow p & \neg(\neg p \wedge \neg q) \rightarrow (p \vee q) \\ ((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow p) \rightarrow p & \neg(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (p \wedge \neg q) \end{array}$$

The example of an intuitionistically invalid **fórmula** that is nevertheless a tautology of $\mathbf{G}_3$, $(p \rightarrow q) \vee (q \rightarrow p)$, is also a tautology in $\mathbf{G}_\infty$. In fact, $\mathbf{G}_\infty$ can be characterized as intuitionistic logic to which the schema $(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \vee (\psi \rightarrow \varphi)$ is added. This was shown by Michael Dummett, and so $\mathbf{G}_\infty$ is often referred to as Gödel–Dummett logic **LC**.

Problema inf.2. Show that $(p \rightarrow q) \vee (q \rightarrow p)$ is a tautology of $\mathbf{G}_\infty$.

Problema inf.3. Show that $(p \rightarrow q) \vee (q \rightarrow r) \vee (r \rightarrow s)$, which is a tautology of $\mathbf{G}_3$, is not a tautology of $\mathbf{G}_\infty$.

Créditos das Imagens

Referências Bibliográficas