

-NoValue-

ldf.1 Primitive Recursive Functions are λ -definível

lam:ldf:prf: sec Recall that the primitive recursive functions are those that can be defined from the basic functions zero, succ, and P_i^n by composition and primitive recursion.

lam:ldf:prf: lem:basic **Lema ldf.1.** *The basic primitive recursive functions zero, succ, and projections P_i^n are λ -definível.*

Prova. They are λ -defined by the following terms:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Zero} &\equiv \lambda a. \lambda f x. x \\ \text{Succ} &\equiv \lambda a. \lambda f x. f(afx) \\ \text{Proj}_i^n &\equiv \lambda x_0 \dots x_{n-1}. x_i\end{aligned}\quad \square$$

lam:ldf:prf: lem:comp **Lema ldf.2.** *Suppose the k -ary function f , and n -ary functions $g_0, \dots, g_{k-1}$, are λ -definível by terms $F, G_0, \dots, G_k$, and h is defined from them by composition. Then H is λ -definível.*

Prova. h can be λ -defined by the term

$$H \equiv \lambda x_0 \dots x_{n-1}. F(G_0 x_0 \dots x_{n-1}) \dots (G_{k-1} x_0 \dots x_{n-1})$$

We leave verification of this fact as an exercise. $\square$

Problema ldf.1. Complete the proof of **Lema ldf.2** by showing that $H\overline{n_0} \dots \overline{n_{n-1}} \rightarrow h(n_0, \dots, n_{n-1})$.

Note that **Lema ldf.2** did not require that f and $g_0, \dots, g_{k-1}$ are primitive recursive; it is only required that they are total and λ -definível.

lam:ldf:prf: lem:prim **Lema ldf.3.** *Suppose f is an n -ary function and g is an $n+2$ -ary function, they are λ -definível by terms F and G , and the function h is defined from f and g by primitive recursion. Then h is also λ -definível.*

Prova. Recall that h is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}h(x_1, \dots, x_n, 0) &= f(x_1, \dots, x_n) \\ h(x_1, \dots, x_n, y+1) &= h(x_1, \dots, x_n, y, h(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)).\end{aligned}$$

Informally speaking, the primitive recursive definition iterates the application of the function h y times and applies it to $f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$. This is reminiscent of the definition of Church numerals, which is also defined as an iterator.

For simplicity, we give the definition and proof for a single additional argument x . The function h is λ -defined by:

$$H \equiv \lambda x. \lambda y. \text{Snd}(yD(\overline{0}, Fx))$$

where

$$D \equiv \lambda p. \langle \text{Succ}(\text{Fst } p), (Gx(\text{Fst } p)(\text{Snd } p)) \rangle$$

The iteration state we maintain is a pair, the first of which is the current y and the second is the corresponding value of h . For every step of iteration we create a pair of new values of y and h ; after the iteration is done we return the second part of the pair and that's the final h value. We now prove this is indeed a representation of primitive recursion.

We want to prove that for any n and m , $H \bar{n} \bar{m} \rightarrow \overline{h(n, m)}$. To do this we first show that if $D_n \equiv D[\bar{n}/x]$, then $D_n^m \langle \bar{0}, F \bar{n} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \bar{m}, \overline{h(n, m)} \rangle$. We proceed by induction on m .

If $m = 0$, we want $D_n^0 \langle \bar{0}, F \bar{n} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \bar{0}, \overline{h(n, 0)} \rangle$. But $D_n^0 \langle \bar{0}, F \bar{n} \rangle$ just is $\langle \bar{0}, F \bar{n} \rangle$. Since $F \lambda\text{-definem } f$, this reduces to $\langle \bar{0}, f(n) \rangle$, and since $f(n) = h(n, 0)$, this is $\langle \bar{0}, \overline{h(n, 0)} \rangle$.

Now suppose that $D_n^m \langle \bar{0}, F \bar{n} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \bar{m}, \overline{h(n, m)} \rangle$. We want to show that $D_n^{m+1} \langle \bar{0}, F \bar{n} \rangle \rightarrow \langle \overline{m+1}, \overline{h(n, m+1)} \rangle$.

$$\begin{aligned} D_n^{m+1} \langle \bar{0}, F \bar{n} \rangle &\equiv D_n(D_n^m \langle \bar{0}, F \bar{n} \rangle) \\ &\rightarrow D_n \langle \bar{m}, \overline{h(n, m)} \rangle \quad (\text{by IH}) \\ &\equiv (\lambda p. \langle \text{Succ}(\text{Fst } p), (G \bar{n}(\text{Fst } p)(\text{Snd } p)) \rangle) \langle \bar{m}, \overline{h(n, m)} \rangle \\ &\rightarrow \langle \text{Succ}(\text{Fst } \langle \bar{m}, \overline{h(n, m)} \rangle), \\ &\quad (G \bar{n}(\text{Fst } \langle \bar{m}, \overline{h(n, m)} \rangle)(\text{Snd } \langle \bar{m}, \overline{h(n, m)} \rangle)) \rangle \\ &\rightarrow \langle \text{Succ } \bar{m}, (G \bar{n} \bar{m} \overline{h(n, m)}) \rangle \\ &\rightarrow \langle \overline{m+1}, \overline{g(n, m, h(n, m))} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Since $g(n, m, h(n, m)) = h(n, m+1)$, we are done.

Finally, consider

$$\begin{aligned} H \bar{n} \bar{m} &\equiv \lambda x. \lambda y. \text{Snd}(y(\lambda p. \langle \text{Succ}(\text{Fst } p), (G x(\text{Fst } p)(\text{Snd } p)) \rangle) \langle \bar{0}, Fx \rangle) \\ &\quad \bar{n} \bar{m} \\ &\rightarrow \text{Snd}(\bar{m} \underbrace{(\lambda p. \langle \text{Succ}(\text{Fst } p), (G \bar{n}(\text{Fst } p)(\text{Snd } p)) \rangle)}_{D_n} \langle \bar{0}, F \bar{n} \rangle) \\ &\equiv \text{Snd}(\bar{m} D_n \langle \bar{0}, F \bar{n} \rangle) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Snd}(D_n^m \langle \bar{0}, F \bar{n} \rangle) \\ &\rightarrow \text{Snd} \langle \bar{m}, \overline{h(n, m)} \rangle \\ &\rightarrow \overline{h(n, m)}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Proposição ldf.4. *Every primitive recursive function is $\lambda\text{-definível}$.*

Prova. By **Lema ldf.1**, all basic functions are $\lambda\text{-definível}$, and by **Lema ldf.2** and **Lema ldf.3**, the $\lambda\text{-definível}$ functions are closed under composition and primitive recursion. $\square$

Créditos das Imagens

Referências Bibliográficas