

-NoValue-

## rep.1 Currying

lam:rep:cur:  
sec

A  $\lambda$ -abstract  $\lambda x. M$  represents a function of one argument, which is quite a limitation when we want to define function accepting multiple arguments. One way to do this would be by extending the  $\lambda$ -calculus to allow the formation of pairs, triples, etc., in which case, say, a three-place function  $\lambda x. M$  would expect its argument to be a triple. However, it is more convenient to do this by *Currying*.

Let's consider an example. We'll pretend for a moment that we have a  $+$  operation in the  $\lambda$ -calculus. The addition function is 2-place, O.s., it takes two arguments. But a  $\lambda$ -abstract only gives us functions of one argument: the syntax does not allow expressions like  $\lambda(x, y). (x + y)$ . However, we can consider the one-place function  $f_x(y)$  given by  $\lambda y. (x + y)$ , which adds  $x$  to its single argument  $y$ . Actually, this is not a single function, but a family of different functions “add  $x$ ,” one for each number  $x$ . Now we can define another one-place function  $g$  as  $\lambda x. f_x$ . Applied to argument  $x$ ,  $g(x)$  returns the function  $f_x$ —so its values are other functions. Now if we apply  $g$  to  $x$ , and then the result to  $y$  we get:  $(g(x))y = f_x(y) = x + y$ . In this way, the one-place function  $g$  can do the same job as the two-place addition function. “Currying” simply refers to this trick for turning two-place functions into one place functions (whose values are one-place functions).

Here is an example properly in the syntax of the  $\lambda$ -calculus. How do we represent the function  $f(x, y) = x$ ? If we want to define a function that accepts two arguments and returns the first, we can write  $\lambda x. \lambda y. x$ , which literally is a function that accepts an argument  $x$  and returns the function  $\lambda y. x$ . The function  $\lambda y. x$  accepts another argument  $y$ , but drops it, and always returns  $x$ . Let's see what happens when we apply  $\lambda x. \lambda y. x$  to two arguments:

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda x. \lambda y. x)MN &\xrightarrow{\beta} (\lambda y. M)N \\ &\xrightarrow{\beta} M \end{aligned}$$

In general, to write a function with parameters  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  defined by some term  $N$ , we can write  $\lambda x_1. \lambda x_2. \dots \lambda x_n. N$ . If we apply  $n$  arguments to it we get:

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda x_1. \lambda x_2. \dots \lambda x_n. N)M_1 \dots M_n &\xrightarrow{\beta} \\ &\xrightarrow{\beta} ((\lambda x_2. \dots \lambda x_n. N)[M_1/x_1])M_2 \dots M_n \\ &\equiv (\lambda x_2. \dots \lambda x_n. N[M_1/x_1])M_2 \dots M_n \\ &\vdots \\ &\xrightarrow{\beta} P[M_1/x_1] \dots [M_n/x_n] \end{aligned}$$

The last line literally means substituting  $M_i$  for  $x_i$  in the body of the function definition, which is exactly what we want when applying multiple arguments to a function.

**Créditos das Imagens**

**Referências Bibliográficas**