

-NoValue-

int.1 Syntax of Intuitionistic Logic

int:int:syn:
sec

The syntax of intuitionistic logic is the same as that for propositional logic. In classical propositional logic it is possible to define connectives by others, p.ex., one can define $\varphi \rightarrow \psi$ by $\neg\varphi \vee \psi$, or $\varphi \vee \psi$ by $\neg(\neg\varphi \wedge \neg\psi)$. Thus, presentations of classical logic often introduce some connectives as abbreviations for these definitions. This is not so in intuitionistic logic, with two exceptions: $\neg\varphi$ can be—and often is—defined as an abbreviation for $\varphi \rightarrow \perp$. Then, of course, $\perp$ must not itself be defined! Also, $\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$ can be defined, as in classical logic, as $(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \wedge (\psi \rightarrow \varphi)$.

Fórmulas of propositional intuitionistic logic are built up from *variáveis proposicionais* and the propositional constant $\perp$ using *logical connectives*. We have:

1. A infinito enumerável set At_0 of *variáveis proposicionais* $p_0, p_1, \dots$
2. The propositional constant for *falsidade* $\perp$.
3. The logical connectives: $\wedge$ (conjunction), $\vee$ (disjunction), $\rightarrow$ (conditional)
4. Punctuation marks: $(,)$, and the comma.

int:int:syn:
defn:formulas

Definição int.1 (Formula). The set $\text{Frm}(\mathcal{L}_0)$ of *fórmulas* of propositional intuitionistic logic is defined inductively as follows:

1. $\perp$ is an atomic *fórmula*.
2. Every *variável proposicional* p_i is an atomic *fórmula*.
3. If φ and ψ are *fórmulas*, then $(\varphi \wedge \psi)$ is a *fórmula*.
4. If φ and ψ are *fórmulas*, then $(\varphi \vee \psi)$ is a *fórmula*.
5. If φ and ψ are *fórmulas*, then $(\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$ is a *fórmula*.
6. Nothing else is a *fórmula*.

In addition to the primitive connectives introduced above, we also use the following *defined* symbols: $\neg$ (negation) and $\leftrightarrow$ (*bicondicional*). Formulas constructed using the defined operators are to be understood as follows:

1. $\neg\varphi$ abbreviates $\varphi \rightarrow \perp$.
2. $\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi$ abbreviates $(\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \wedge (\psi \rightarrow \varphi)$.

Although $\neg$ is officially treated as an abbreviation, we will sometimes give explicit rules and clauses in definitions for $\neg$ as if it were primitive. This is mostly so we can state practice problems.

Créditos das Imagens

Referências Bibliográficas