

-NoValue-

art.1 Coding Terms

inc:art:trm:
sec A term is simply a certain kind of sequence of symbols: it is built up inductively from constants and variables according to the formation rules for terms. explanation Since sequences of symbols can be coded as numbers—using a coding scheme for the symbols plus a way to code sequences of numbers—assigning Gödel numbers to terms is not difficult. The challenge is rather to show that the property a number has if it is the Gödel number of a correctly formed term is computable, or in fact primitive recursive.

Variáveis and símbolos de constante are the simplest terms, and testing whether x is the Gödel number of such a term is easy: $\text{Var}(x)$ holds if x is $\#v_i\#$ for some i . In other words, x is a sequence of length 1 and its single element $(x)_0$ is the code of some variável v_i , O.s., x is $\langle\langle 1, i \rangle\rangle$ for some i . Similarly, $\text{Const}(x)$ holds if x is $\#c_i\#$ for some i . Both of these relations are primitive recursive, since if such an i exists, it must be $< x$:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Var}(x) &\Leftrightarrow (\exists i < x) x = \langle\langle 1, i \rangle\rangle \\ \text{Const}(x) &\Leftrightarrow (\exists i < x) x = \langle\langle 2, i \rangle\rangle\end{aligned}$$

inc:art:trm:
prop:term-primrec **Proposição art.1.** *The relations $\text{Term}(x)$ and $\text{ClTerm}(x)$ which hold iff x is the Gödel number of a term or a closed term, respectively, are primitive recursive.*

Prova. A sequence of symbols s is a term iff there is a sequence $s_0, \dots, s_{k-1} = s$ of terms which records how the term s was formed from símbolos de constante and variáveis according to the formation rules for terms. To express that such a putative formation sequence follows the formation rules it has to be the case that, for each $i < k$, either

1. s_i is a variável v_j , or
2. s_i is a símbolo de constante c_j , or
3. s_i is built from n terms $t_1, \dots, t_n$ occurring prior to place i using an n -place símbolo de função f_j^n .

To show that the corresponding relation on Gödel numbers is primitive recursive, we have to express this condition primitively, O.s., using primitive recursive functions, relations, and bounded quantification.

Suppose y is the number that codes the sequence $s_0, \dots, s_{k-1}$, O.s., $y = \langle\#s_0\#, \dots, \#s_{k-1}\#\rangle$. It codes a formation sequence for the term with Gödel number x iff for all $i < k$:

1. $\text{Var}((y)_i)$, or
2. $\text{Const}((y)_i)$, or

3. there is an n and a number $z = \langle z_1, \dots, z_n \rangle$ such that each z_l is equal to some $(y)_{i'}$ for $i' < i$ and

$$(y)_i = \#f_j^n(\# \frown \text{flatten}(z) \frown \#)^\#,$$

and moreover $(y)_{k-1} = x$. (The function $\text{flatten}(z)$ turns the sequence $\langle \#t_1^\#, \dots, \#t_n^\# \rangle$ into $\#t_1^\#, \dots, \#t_n^\#$ and is primitive recursive.)

The indices j, n , the Gödel numbers z_l of the terms t_l , and the code z of the sequence $\langle z_1, \dots, z_n \rangle$, in (3) are all less than y . We can replace k above with $\text{len}(y)$. Hence we can express “ y is the code of a formation sequence of the term with Gödel number x ” in a way that shows that this relation is primitive recursive.

We now just have to convince ourselves that there is a primitive recursive bound on y . But if x is the Gödel number of a term, it must have a formation sequence with at most $\text{len}(x)$ terms (since every term in the formation sequence of s must start at some place in s , and no two subterms can start at the same place). The Gödel number of each subterm of s is of course $\leq x$. Hence, there always is a formation sequence with code $\leq p_{k-1}^{k(x+1)}$, where $k = \text{len}(x)$.

For CTerm, simply leave out the clause for **variáveis**. □

Problema art.1. Show that the function $\text{flatten}(z)$, which turns the sequence $\langle \#t_1^\#, \dots, \#t_n^\# \rangle$ into $\#t_1^\#, \dots, \#t_n^\#$, is primitive recursive.

Proposição art.2. *The function $\text{num}(n) = \#n^\#$ is primitive recursive.*

*inc:art:trm:
prop:num-primrec*

Prova. We define $\text{num}(n)$ by primitive recursion:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{num}(0) &= \#0^\# \\ \text{num}(n+1) &= \#f(\# \frown \text{num}(n) \frown \#)^\#. \end{aligned}$$

□

Créditos das Imagens

Referências Bibliográficas