

-NoValue-

rec.1 The Halting Problem

cmp:rec:halt:
sec

The *halting problem* in general is the problem of deciding, given the specification e (p.ex., program) of a computable function and a number n , whether the computation of the function on input n halts, O.s., produces a result. Famously, Alan Turing proved that this problem itself cannot be solved by a computable function, O.s., the function

$$h(e, n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if computation } e \text{ halts on input } n \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

is not computable.

In the context of partial recursive functions, the role of the specification of a program may be played by the index e given in Kleene's normal form theorem. If f is a partial recursive function, any e for which the equation in the normal form theorem holds, is an index of f . Given a number e , the normal form theorem states that

$$\varphi_e(x) \simeq U(\mu s \, T(e, x, s))$$

is partial recursive, and for every partial recursive $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, there is an $e \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\varphi_e(x) \simeq f(x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{N}$. In fact, for each such f there is not just one, but infinitely many such e . The *halting function* h is defined by

$$h(e, x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \varphi_e(x) \downarrow \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that $h(e, x) = 0$ if $\varphi_e(x) \uparrow$, but also when e is not the index of a partial recursive function at all.

cmp:rec:halt:
thm:halting-problem

Teorema rec.1. *The halting function h is not partial recursive.*

Prova. If h were partial recursive, we could define

$$d(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } h(y, y) = 0 \\ \mu x \, x \neq x & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since no number x satisfies $x \neq x$, there is no $\mu x \, x \neq x$, and so $d(y) \uparrow$ iff $h(y, y) \neq 0$. From this definition it follows that

1. $d(y) \downarrow$ iff $\varphi_y(y) \uparrow$ or y is not the index of a partial recursive function.
2. $d(y) \uparrow$ iff $\varphi_y(y) \downarrow$.

If h were partial recursive, then d would be partial recursive as well. Thus, by the Kleene normal form theorem, it has an index e_d . Consider the value of $h(e_d, e_d)$. There are two possible cases, 0 and 1.

1. If $h(e_d, e_d) = 1$ then $\varphi_{e_d}(e_d) \downarrow$. But $\varphi_{e_d} \simeq d$, and $d(e_d)$ is defined iff $h(e_d, e_d) = 0$. So $h(e_d, e_d) \neq 1$.
2. If $h(e_d, e_d) = 0$ then either e_d is not the index of a partial recursive function, or it is and $\varphi_{e_d}(e_d) \uparrow$. But again, $\varphi_{e_d} \simeq d$, and $d(e_d)$ is undefined iff $\varphi_{e_d}(e_d) \downarrow$.

The upshot is that e_d cannot, after all, be the index of a partial recursive function. But if h were partial recursive, d would be too, and so our definition of e_d as an index of it would be admissible. We must conclude that h cannot be partial recursive. $\square$

Créditos das Imagens

Referências Bibliográficas