

-NoValue-

thy.1 Computably Enumerable Sets not Closed under Complement

cmp:thy:cmp: Suppose A is computably enumerable. Is the complement of A , $\bar{A} = \mathbb{N} \setminus A$,
sec always computably enumerable as well? The following theorem and corollary
show that the answer is “no.”

cmp:thy:cmp: **Teorema thy.1.** *Let A be any set of natural numbers. Then A is computable*
thm:ce-comp *if and only if both A and $\bar{A}$ are computably enumerable.*

Prova. The forwards direction is easy: if A is computable, then $\bar{A}$ is computable
as well ($\chi_A = 1 - \chi_{\bar{A}}$), and so both are computably enumerable.

In the other direction, suppose A and $\bar{A}$ are both computably enumerable.
Let A be the domain of φ_d , and let $\bar{A}$ be the domain of φ_e . Define h by

$$h(x) = \mu s (T(d, x, s) \vee T(e, x, s)).$$

In other words, on input x , h searches for either a halting computation of φ_d or
a halting computation of φ_e . Now, if $x \in A$, it will succeed in the first case, and
if $x \in \bar{A}$, it will succeed in the second case. So, h is a total computable function.
But now we have that for every x , $x \in A$ if and only if $T(e, x, h(x))$, O.s., if
 φ_e is the one that is defined. Since $T(e, x, h(x))$ is a computable relation, A is
computable. $\square$

It is easier to understand what is going on in informal computational terms: explanation
to decide A , on input x search for halting computations of φ_e and φ_f . One of
them is bound to halt; if it is φ_e , then x is in A , and otherwise, x is in $\bar{A}$.

cmp:thy:cmp: **Corolário thy.2.** $\bar{K}_0$ is not computably enumerable.
cor:comp-k

Prova. We know that K_0 is computably enumerable, but not computable. If $\bar{K}_0$
were computably enumerable, then K_0 would be computable by **Teorema thy.1**,
contradicting ?? $\square$

Créditos das Imagens

Referências Bibliográficas