mac.1 Variants of Turing Machines

There are in fact many possible ways to define Turing machines, of which ours is only one. In some ways, our definition is more liberal than others. We allow arbitrary finite alphabets, a more restricted definition might allow only two tape symbols, 1 and 0. We allow the machine to write a symbol to the tape and move at the same time, other definitions allow either writing or moving. We allow the possibility of writing without moving the tape head, other definitions leave out the \( N \) “instruction.” In other ways, our definition is more restrictive. We assumed that the tape is infinite in one direction only, other definitions allow the tape to be infinite both to the left and the right. In fact, one can even allow any number of separate tapes, or even an infinite grid of squares. We represent the instruction set of the Turing machine by a transition function; other definitions use a transition relation where the machine has more than one possible instruction in any given situation.

This last relaxation of the definition is particularly interesting. In our definition, when the machine is in state \( q \) reading symbol \( \sigma \), \( \delta(q, \sigma) \) determines what the new symbol, state, and tape head position is. But if we allow the instruction set to be a relation between current state-symbol pairs \( (q, \sigma) \) and new state-symbol-direction triples \( (q', \sigma', D) \), the action of the Turing machine may not be uniquely determined—the instruction relation may contain both \( (q, \sigma, q', \sigma', D) \) and \( (q, \sigma, q'', \sigma'', D') \). In this case we have a non-deterministic Turing machine. These play an important role in computational complexity theory.

There are also different conventions for when a Turing machine halts: we say it halts when the transition function is undefined, other definitions require the machine to be in a special designated halting state. We have explained in ?? why requiring a designated halting state is not a restriction which impacts what Turing machines can compute. Since the tapes of our Turing machines are infinite in one direction only, there are cases where a Turing machine can’t properly carry out an instruction: if it reads the leftmost square and is supposed to move left. According to our definition, it just stays put instead of “falling off”, but we could have defined it so that it halts when that happens. This definition is also equivalent: we could simulate the behavior of a Turing machine that halts when it attempts to move left from square 0 by deleting every transition \( \delta(q, \triangleright) = (q', \sigma, L) \)—then instead of attempting to move left on \( \triangleright \) the machine halts.\(^1\)

There are also different ways of representing numbers (and hence the input-output function computed by a Turing machine): we use unary representation, but you can also use binary representation. This requires two symbols in addition to 0 and \( \triangleright \).

Now here is an interesting fact: none of these variations matters as to which functions are Turing computable. If a function is Turing computable according

\(^{1}\text{This doesn’t quite work, since nothing prevents us from writing and reading } \triangleright \text{ on squares other than square 0 (see ??). We can get around that by adding a second } \triangleright' \text{ symbol to use instead for such a purpose.} \)
to one definition, it is Turing computable according to all of them.

We won’t go into the details of verifying this. Here’s just one example: we gain no additional computing power by allowing a tape that is infinite in both directions, or multiple tapes. The reason is, roughly, that a Turing machine with a single one-way infinite tape can simulate multiple or two-way infinite tapes. E.g., using additional states and instructions, we can “translate” a program for a machine with multiple tapes or two-way infinite tape into one with a single one-way infinite tape. The translated machine can use the even squares for the squares of tape 1 (or the “positive” squares of a two-way infinite tape) and the odd squares for the squares of tape 2 (or the “negative” squares).
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