

## infinite.1 Appendix: Proving Schröder-Bernstein

sfr:infinite:card-sb:  
sec

Before we depart from naïve set theory, we have one last naïve (but sophisticated!) proof to consider. This is a proof of Schröder-Bernstein (??): if  $A \preceq B$  and  $B \preceq A$  then  $A \approx B$ ; i.e., given **injections**  $f: A \rightarrow B$  and  $g: B \rightarrow A$  there is a **bijection**  $h: A \rightarrow B$ .

In this chapter, we followed Dedekind’s notion of *closures*. In fact, Dedekind provided a lovely proof of Schröder-Bernstein using this notion, and we will present it here. The proof closely follows [Potter \(2004, pp. 157–8\)](#), if you want a slightly different but essentially similar treatment. A little googling will also convince you that this is a theorem—rather like the irrationality of  $\sqrt{2}$ —for which *many* interesting and different proofs exist.

Using similar notation as ??, let

$$\text{Clo}_f(B) = \bigcap \{X : B \subseteq X \text{ and } X \text{ is } f\text{-closed}\}$$

for each set  $B$  and function  $f$ . Defined thus,  $\text{Clo}_f(B)$  is the smallest  $f$ -closed set containing  $B$ , in that:

sfr:infinite:card-sb:  
Closureprops  
sfr:infinite:card-sb:  
Closurehaselem  
sfr:infinite:card-sb:  
Closureclosed  
sfr:infinite:card-sb:  
Closuresmallest

**Lemma infinite.1.** *For any function  $f$ , and any  $B$ :*

1.  $B \subseteq \text{Clo}_f(B)$ ; and
2.  $\text{Clo}_f(B)$  is  $f$ -closed; and
3. if  $X$  is  $f$ -closed and  $B \subseteq X$ , then  $\text{Clo}_f(B) \subseteq X$ .

*Proof.* Exactly as in ??.

□

We need one last fact to get to Schröder-Bernstein:

sfr:infinite:card-sb:  
sbhelper

**Proposition infinite.2.** *If  $A \subseteq B \subseteq C$  and  $A \approx C$ , then  $A \approx B \approx C$ .*

*Proof.* Given a **bijection**  $f: C \rightarrow A$ , let  $F = \text{Clo}_f(C \setminus B)$  and define a function  $g$  with domain  $C$  as follows:

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in F \\ x & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We’ll show that  $g$  is a **bijection** from  $C \rightarrow B$ , from which it will follow that  $g \circ f^{-1}: A \rightarrow B$  is a **bijection**, completing the proof.

First we claim that if  $x \in F$  but  $y \notin F$  then  $g(x) \neq g(y)$ . For reductio suppose otherwise, so that  $y = g(y) = g(x) = f(x)$ . Since  $x \in F$  and  $F$  is  $f$ -closed by **Lemma infinite.1**, we have  $y = f(x) \in F$ , a contradiction.

Now suppose  $g(x) = g(y)$ . So, by the above,  $x \in F$  iff  $y \in F$ . If  $x, y \in F$ , then  $f(x) = g(x) = g(y) = f(y)$  so that  $x = y$  since  $f$  is a **bijection**. If  $x, y \notin F$ , then  $x = g(x) = g(y) = y$ . So  $g$  is an **injection**.

It remains to show that  $\text{ran}(g) = B$ . So fix  $x \in B \subseteq C$ . If  $x \notin F$ , then  $g(x) = x$ . If  $x \in F$ , then  $x = f(y)$  for some  $y \in F$ , since otherwise  $F \setminus \{x\}$  would be  $f$ -closed and extend  $C \setminus B$ , which is impossible by **Lemma infinite.1**; now  $g(y) = f(y) = x$ . □

Finally, here is the proof of the main result. Recall that given a function  $h$  and set  $D$ , we define  $h[D] = \{h(x) : x \in D\}$ .

*Proof of Schröder-Bernstein.* Let  $f: A \rightarrow B$  and  $g: B \rightarrow A$  be **injections**. Since  $f[A] \subseteq B$  we have that  $g[f[A]] \subseteq g[B] \subseteq A$ . Also,  $g \circ f: A \rightarrow g[f[A]]$  is an **injection** since both  $g$  and  $f$  are; and indeed  $g \circ f$  is a **bijection**, just by the way we defined its codomain. So  $g[f[A]] \approx A$ , and hence by **Proposition infinite.2** there is a **bijection**  $h: A \rightarrow g[B]$ . Moreover,  $g^{-1}$  is a **bijection**  $g[B] \rightarrow B$ . So  $g^{-1} \circ h: A \rightarrow B$  is a **bijection**.  $\square$

## Photo Credits

## Bibliography

Potter, Michael. 2004. *Set Theory and its Philosophy*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.