

## spine.1 Basic Properties of Stages

sth:spine:Valphabasic:  
sec

To bring out the foundational importance of the definition of the  $V_\alpha$ s, we will present a few basic results about them. We start with a definition:<sup>1</sup>

**Definition spine.1.** The set  $A$  is *potent* iff  $\forall x((\exists y \in A)x \subseteq y \rightarrow x \in A)$ .

sth:spine:Valphabasic:  
Valphabasicprops

**Lemma spine.2.** For each ordinal  $\alpha$ :

sth:spine:Valphabasic:  
Valphatrans

1. Each  $V_\alpha$  is transitive.

sth:spine:Valphabasic:  
Valphapotent

2. Each  $V_\alpha$  is potent.

sth:spine:Valphabasic:  
Valphacum

3. If  $\gamma \in \alpha$ , then  $V_\gamma \in V_\alpha$  (and hence also  $V_\gamma \subseteq V_\alpha$  by (1))

*Proof.* We prove this by a (simultaneous) transfinite induction. For induction, suppose that (1)–(3) holds for each ordinal  $\beta < \alpha$ .

The case of  $\alpha = \emptyset$  is trivial.

Suppose  $\alpha = \beta^+$ . To show (3), if  $\gamma \in \alpha$  then  $V_\gamma \subseteq V_\beta$  by hypothesis, so  $V_\gamma \in \wp(V_\beta) = V_\alpha$ . To show (2), suppose  $A \subseteq B \in V_\alpha$  i.e.,  $A \subseteq B \subseteq V_\beta$ ; then  $A \subseteq V_\beta$  so  $A \in V_\alpha$ . To show (1), note that if  $x \in A \in V_\alpha$  we have  $A \subseteq V_\beta$ , so  $x \in V_\beta$ , so  $x \subseteq V_\beta$  as  $V_\beta$  is transitive by hypothesis, and so  $x \in V_\alpha$ .

Suppose  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal. To show (3), if  $\gamma \in \alpha$  then  $\gamma \in \gamma^+ \in \alpha$ , so that  $V_\gamma \in V_{\gamma^+}$  by assumption, hence  $V_\gamma \in \bigcup_{\beta \in \alpha} V_\beta = V_\alpha$ . To show (1) and (2), just observe that a union of transitive (respectively, potent) sets is transitive (respectively, potent).  $\square$

sth:spine:Valphabasic:  
Valphanotref

**Lemma spine.3.** For each ordinal  $\alpha$ ,  $V_\alpha \notin V_\alpha$ .

*Proof.* By transfinite induction. Evidently  $V_\emptyset \notin V_\emptyset$ .

If  $V_{\alpha^+} \in V_{\alpha^+} = \wp(V_\alpha)$ , then  $V_{\alpha^+} \subseteq V_\alpha$ ; and since  $V_\alpha \in V_{\alpha^+}$  by Lemma spine.2, we have  $V_\alpha \in V_\alpha$ . Conversely: if  $V_\alpha \notin V_\alpha$  then  $V_{\alpha^+} \notin V_{\alpha^+}$ .

If  $\alpha$  is a limit and  $V_\alpha \in V_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta \in \alpha} V_\beta$ , then  $V_\alpha \in V_\beta$  for some  $\beta \in \alpha$ ; but then also  $V_\beta \in V_\alpha$  so that  $V_\beta \in V_\beta$  by Lemma spine.2 (twice). Conversely, if  $V_\beta \notin V_\beta$  for all  $\beta \in \alpha$ , then  $V_\alpha \notin V_\alpha$ .  $\square$

**Corollary spine.4.** For any ordinals  $\alpha, \beta$ :  $\alpha \in \beta$  iff  $V_\alpha \in V_\beta$

*Proof.* Lemma spine.2 gives one direction. Conversely, suppose  $V_\alpha \in V_\beta$ . Then  $\alpha \neq \beta$  by Lemma spine.3; and  $\beta \notin \alpha$ , for otherwise we would have  $V_\beta \in V_\alpha$  and hence  $V_\beta \in V_\beta$  by Lemma spine.2 (twice), contradicting Lemma spine.3. So  $\alpha \in \beta$  by Trichotomy.  $\square$

All of this allows us to think of each  $V_\alpha$  as the  $\alpha$ th stage of the hierarchy. Here is why.

Certainly our  $V_\alpha$ s can be thought of as being formed in an *iterative* process, for our use of ordinals tracks the notion of iteration. Moreover, if one stage

<sup>1</sup>There's no standard terminology for "potent"; this is the name used by Button (2021).

is formed before the other, i.e.,  $V_\beta \in V_\alpha$ , i.e.,  $\beta \in \alpha$ , then our process of formation is *cumulative*, since  $V_\beta \subseteq V_\alpha$ . Finally, we are indeed forming *all* possible collections of sets that were available at any earlier stage, since any successor stage  $V_{\alpha+}$  is the power-set of its predecessor  $V_\alpha$ .

In short: with  $\mathbf{ZF}^-$ , we are *almost* done, in articulating our vision of the cumulative-iterative hierarchy of sets. (Though, of course, we still need to justify Replacement.)

## Photo Credits

## Bibliography

Button, Tim. 2021. Level theory, part 1: Axiomatizing the bare idea of a cumulative hierarchy of sets. *The Bulletin of Symbolic Logic* 27(4): 436–460.