

## fl.1 Filtrations and Properties of Accessibility

mod:fl:acc:  
sec

**Definition fl.1.** Let  $\Gamma$  be closed under subformulas and  $\mathfrak{M} = \langle W, R, V \rangle$  a model. Then we can define conditions on pairs of worlds  $u, v$  as given in the table of Figure 1.

$C_1(u, v)$ :	if $\Box\varphi \in \Gamma$ and $\mathfrak{M}, u \models \Box\varphi$ then $\mathfrak{M}, v \models \varphi$ ; and if $\Diamond\varphi \in \Gamma$ and $\mathfrak{M}, v \models \varphi$ then $\mathfrak{M}, u \models \Diamond\varphi$ ;
$C_2(u, v)$ :	if $\Box\varphi \in \Gamma$ and $\mathfrak{M}, v \models \Box\varphi$ then $\mathfrak{M}, u \models \varphi$ ; and if $\Diamond\varphi \in \Gamma$ and $\mathfrak{M}, u \models \varphi$ then $\mathfrak{M}, v \models \Diamond\varphi$ ;
$C_3(u, v)$ :	if $\Box\varphi \in \Gamma$ and $\mathfrak{M}, u \models \Box\varphi$ then $\mathfrak{M}, v \models \Box\varphi$ ; and if $\Diamond\varphi \in \Gamma$ and $\mathfrak{M}, v \models \Diamond\varphi$ then $\mathfrak{M}, u \models \Diamond\varphi$ ;
$C_4(u, v)$ :	if $\Box\varphi \in \Gamma$ and $\mathfrak{M}, v \models \Box\varphi$ then $\mathfrak{M}, u \models \Box\varphi$ ; and if $\Diamond\varphi \in \Gamma$ and $\mathfrak{M}, u \models \Diamond\varphi$ then $\mathfrak{M}, v \models \Diamond\varphi$ ;

Figure 1: Conditions on possible worlds for defining filtrations.

mod:fl:acc:  
fig:Cr-filtrations  
thm:more-filtrations

**Theorem fl.2.** Let  $\mathfrak{M} = \langle W, R, P \rangle$  be a model,  $\Gamma$  closed under subformulas. Let  $W^*$  and  $V^*$  be defined as in ???. Then:

1. If  $R^*$  is defined as  $R^*[u][v]$  if and only if  $C_1(uv) \wedge C_2(u, v)$  then  $R^*$  is symmetric, and  $\mathfrak{M}^* = \langle W^*, R^*, V^* \rangle$  is a filtration if  $\mathfrak{M}$  is symmetric.
2. If  $R^*$  is defined as  $R^*[u][v]$  if and only if  $C_1(uv) \wedge C_3(u, v)$  then  $R^*$  is transitive, and  $\mathfrak{M}^* = \langle W^*, R^*, V^* \rangle$  is a filtration if  $\mathfrak{M}$  is transitive.
3. If  $R^*$  is defined as  $R^*[u][v]$  if and only if  $C_1(uv) \wedge C_2(u, v) \wedge C_3(u, v) \wedge C_4(u, v)$  then  $R^*$  is symmetric and transitive, and  $\mathfrak{M}^* = \langle W^*, R^*, V^* \rangle$  is a filtration if  $\mathfrak{M}$  is symmetric and transitive.
4. If  $R^*$  is defined as  $R^*[u][v]$  if and only if  $C_1(uv) \wedge C_3(u, v) \wedge C_4(u, v)$  then  $R^*$  is transitive and euclidean, and  $\mathfrak{M}^* = \langle W^*, R^*, V^* \rangle$  is a filtration if  $\mathfrak{M}$  is transitive and euclidean.

*Proof.* 1. It's immediate that  $R^*$  is symmetric, since  $C_1(u, v) \Leftrightarrow C_2(v, u)$  and  $C_2(u, v) \Leftrightarrow C_1(v, u)$ . So it's left to show that if  $\mathfrak{M}$  is symmetric then  $\mathfrak{M}^*$  is a filtration through  $\Gamma$ . By condition  $C_1(u, v)$  we get that: if  $\Box\varphi \in \Gamma$  and  $\mathfrak{M}, u \models \Box\varphi$  then  $\mathfrak{M}, v \models \varphi$ , and if  $\Diamond\varphi \in \Gamma$  and  $\mathfrak{M}, v \models \varphi$  then  $\mathfrak{M}, u \models \Diamond\varphi$ . So all we need is that  $Ruv$  implies  $R^*[u][v]$ .

So suppose  $Ruv$ , to show  $R^*[u][v]$  we need  $C_1(u, v) \wedge C_2(u, v)$ . For  $C_1$ : if  $\Box\varphi \in \Gamma$  and  $\mathfrak{M}, u \models \Box\varphi$  then also  $\mathfrak{M}, v \models \varphi$  (since  $Ruv$ ); and similarly if  $\Diamond\varphi \in \Gamma$  and  $\mathfrak{M}, v \models \varphi$  then  $\mathfrak{M}, u \models \Diamond\varphi$ . For  $C_2$ : if  $\Box\varphi \in \Gamma$  and  $\mathfrak{M}, v \models \Box\varphi$  then  $Ruv$  implies  $Rvu$  by symmetry, so that  $\mathfrak{M}, u \models \varphi$ ; similarly if  $\Diamond\varphi \in \Gamma$  and  $\mathfrak{M}, u \models \varphi$  then  $\mathfrak{M}, v \models \Diamond\varphi$  (since  $Rvu$  by symmetry).

2. Exercise.

3. Exercise.

4. Exercise.

□

**Problem fil.1.** Complete the proof of [Theorem fil.2](#).

**Photo Credits**

**Bibliography**