

## cr.1 Definition and Properties

lam:cr:dap: sec In this chapter we introduce the concept of Church–Rosser property and some common properties of this property.

**Definition cr.1 (Church–Rosser property, CR).** A relation  $\xrightarrow{X}$  on terms is said to satisfy the *Church–Rosser property* iff, whenever  $M \xrightarrow{X} P$  and  $M \xrightarrow{X} Q$ , then there exists some  $N$  such that  $P \xrightarrow{X} N$  and  $Q \xrightarrow{X} N$ .

We can view the lambda calculus as a model of computation in which terms in normal form are “values” and a reducibility relation on terms are the “calculation rules.” The Church–Rosser property states is that when there is more than one way to proceed with a calculation, there is still only a single value of the expression.

To take an example from elementary algebra, there’s more than one way to calculate  $4 \times (1 + 2) + 3$ . It can either be reduced to  $4 \times 3 + 3$  (if we first reduce  $1 + 2$  to 3) or to  $4 \times 1 + 4 \times 2 + 3$  (if we first reduce  $4 \times (1 + 2)$  using distributivity). Both of these, however, can be further reduced to  $12 + 3$ .

If we take  $\xrightarrow{X}$  to be  $\beta$ -reduction, we easily see that a consequence of the Church–Rosser property is that if a term has a normal form, then it is unique. For suppose  $M$  can be reduced to  $P$  and  $Q$ , both of which are normal forms. By the Church–Rosser property, there exists some  $N$  such that both  $P$  and  $Q$  reduce to it. Since by assumption  $P$  and  $Q$  are normal forms, the reduction of  $P$  and  $Q$  to  $N$  can only be the trivial reduction, i.e.,  $P$ ,  $Q$ , and  $N$  are identical. This justifies our speaking of *the* normal form of a term.

In viewing the lambda calculus as a model of computation, then, the normal form of a term can be thought of as the “final result” of the computation starting with that term. The above corollary means there’s only one, if any, final result of a computation, just like there is only one result of computing  $4 \times (1 + 2) + 3$ , namely 15.

lam:cr:dap: thm:str **Theorem cr.2.** *If a relation  $\xrightarrow{X}$  satisfies the Church–Rosser property, and  $\xrightarrow{X}$  is the smallest transitive relation containing  $\xrightarrow{X}$ , then  $\xrightarrow{X}$  satisfies the Church–Rosser property too.*

*Proof.* Suppose

$$\begin{aligned} M &\xrightarrow{X} P_1 \xrightarrow{X} \dots \xrightarrow{X} P_m \text{ and} \\ M &\xrightarrow{X} Q_1 \xrightarrow{X} \dots \xrightarrow{X} Q_n. \end{aligned}$$

We will prove the theorem by constructing a grid  $N$  of terms of height is  $m + 1$  and width  $n + 1$ . We use  $N_{i,j}$  to denote the term in the  $i$ -th row and  $j$ -th column.

We construct  $N$  in such a way that  $N_{i,j} \xrightarrow{X} N_{i+1,j}$  and  $N_{i,j} \xrightarrow{X} N_{i,j+1}$ . It is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} N_{0,0} &= M \\ N_{i,0} &= P_i && \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq m \\ N_{0,j} &= Q_j && \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq n \end{aligned}$$

and otherwise:

$$N_{i,j} = R$$

where  $R$  is a term such that  $N_{i-1,j} \xrightarrow{X} R$  and  $N_{i,j-1} \xrightarrow{X} R$ . By the Church-Rosser property of  $\xrightarrow{X}$ , such a term always exists.

Now we have  $N_{m,0} \xrightarrow{X} \dots \xrightarrow{X} N_{m,n}$  and  $N_{0,n} \xrightarrow{X} \dots \xrightarrow{X} N_{m,n}$ . Note  $N_{m,0}$  is  $P$  and  $N_{0,n}$  is  $Q$ . By definition of  $\xrightarrow{X}$  the theorem follows.  $\square$

## Photo Credits

## Bibliography