

sc.1 The Truth Lemma

int:sc:tru:
sec
int:sc:tru:
lem:truth

Lemma sc.1. *If Δ is prime, then $\mathfrak{M}(\Delta), \sigma \Vdash \varphi$ iff $\Delta(\sigma) \vdash \varphi$.*

Proof. By induction on φ .

1. $\varphi \equiv \perp$: Since $\Delta(\sigma)$ is prime, it is consistent, so $\Delta(\sigma) \not\vdash \varphi$. By definition, $\mathfrak{M}(\Delta), \sigma \not\Vdash \varphi$.
2. $\varphi \equiv p$: By definition of \Vdash , $\mathfrak{M}(\Delta), \sigma \Vdash \varphi$ iff $\sigma \in V(p)$, i.e., $\Delta(\sigma) \vdash \varphi$.
3. $\varphi \equiv \neg\psi$: exercise.
4. $\varphi \equiv \psi \wedge \chi$: $\mathfrak{M}(\Delta), \sigma \Vdash \varphi$ iff $\mathfrak{M}(\Delta), \sigma \Vdash \psi$ and $\mathfrak{M}(\Delta), \sigma \Vdash \chi$. By induction hypothesis, $\mathfrak{M}(\Delta), \sigma \Vdash \psi$ iff $\Delta(\sigma) \vdash \psi$, and similarly for χ . But $\Delta(\sigma) \vdash \psi$ and $\Delta(\sigma) \vdash \chi$ iff $\Delta(\sigma) \vdash \varphi$.
5. $\varphi \equiv \psi \vee \chi$: $\mathfrak{M}(\Delta), \sigma \Vdash \varphi$ iff $\mathfrak{M}(\Delta), \sigma \Vdash \psi$ or $\mathfrak{M}(\Delta), \sigma \Vdash \chi$. By induction hypothesis, this holds iff $\Delta(\sigma) \vdash \psi$ or $\Delta(\sigma) \vdash \chi$. We have to show that this in turn holds iff $\Delta(\sigma) \vdash \varphi$. The left-to-right direction is clear. The right-to-left direction follows since $\Delta(\sigma)$ is prime.
6. $\varphi \equiv \psi \rightarrow \chi$: First the contrapositive of the left-to-right direction: Assume $\Delta(\sigma) \not\vdash \psi \rightarrow \chi$. Then also $\Delta(\sigma) \cup \{\psi\} \not\vdash \chi$. Since $\langle \psi, \chi \rangle$ is $\langle \psi_n, \chi_n \rangle$ for some n , we have $\Delta(\sigma.n) = (\Delta(\sigma) \cup \{\psi\})^*$, and $\Delta(\sigma.n) \vdash \psi$ but $\Delta(\sigma.n) \not\vdash \chi$. By inductive hypothesis, $\mathfrak{M}(\Delta), \sigma.n \Vdash \psi$ and $\mathfrak{M}(\Delta), \sigma.n \not\Vdash \chi$. Since $R\sigma(\sigma.n)$, this means that $\mathfrak{M}(\Delta), \sigma \not\Vdash \varphi$.
Now assume $\Delta(\sigma) \vdash \psi \rightarrow \chi$, and let $R\sigma\sigma'$. Since $\Delta(\sigma) \subseteq \Delta(\sigma')$, we have: if $\Delta(\sigma') \vdash \psi$, then $\Delta(\sigma') \vdash \chi$. In other words, for every σ' such that $R\sigma\sigma'$, either $\Delta(\sigma') \not\vdash \psi$ or $\Delta(\sigma') \vdash \chi$. By induction hypothesis, this means that whenever $R\sigma\sigma'$, either $\mathfrak{M}(\Delta), \sigma' \not\Vdash \psi$ or $\mathfrak{M}(\Delta), \sigma' \Vdash \chi$, i.e., $\mathfrak{M}(\Delta), \sigma \Vdash \varphi$. \square

Photo Credits

Bibliography