

tab.1 Derivability and the Propositional Connectives

fol:tab:ppr:sec We establish that the **derivability** relation \vdash of tableaux is strong enough to explanation establish some basic facts involving the propositional connectives, such as that $\varphi \wedge \psi \vdash \varphi$ and $\varphi, \varphi \rightarrow \psi \vdash \psi$ (modus ponens). These facts are needed for the proof of the completeness theorem.

Proposition tab.1.

fol:tab:ppr:prop:provability-land
fol:tab:ppr:prop:provability-land-left
fol:tab:ppr:prop:provability-land-right

1. Both $\varphi \wedge \psi \vdash \varphi$ and $\varphi \wedge \psi \vdash \psi$.
2. $\varphi, \psi \vdash \varphi \wedge \psi$.

Proof. 1. Both $\{\mathbb{F} \varphi, \mathbb{T} \varphi \wedge \psi\}$ and $\{\mathbb{F} \psi, \mathbb{T} \varphi \wedge \psi\}$ have closed **tableaux**

1.	$\mathbb{F} \varphi$	Assumption
2.	$\mathbb{T} \varphi \wedge \psi$	Assumption
3.	$\mathbb{T} \varphi$	$\wedge \mathbb{T} 2$
4.	$\mathbb{T} \psi$	$\wedge \mathbb{T} 2$
	\otimes	

1.	$\mathbb{F} \psi$	Assumption
2.	$\mathbb{T} \varphi \wedge \psi$	Assumption
3.	$\mathbb{T} \varphi$	$\wedge \mathbb{T} 2$
4.	$\mathbb{T} \psi$	$\wedge \mathbb{T} 2$
	\otimes	

2. Here is a closed **tableau** for $\{\mathbb{T} \varphi, \mathbb{T} \psi, \mathbb{F} \varphi \wedge \psi\}$:

1.	$\mathbb{F} \varphi \wedge \psi$	Assumption
2.	$\mathbb{T} \varphi$	Assumption
3.	$\mathbb{T} \psi$	Assumption
	$\begin{array}{c} \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \mathbb{F} \varphi \quad \mathbb{F} \psi \end{array}$	
4.	$\mathbb{F} \varphi \quad \mathbb{F} \psi$	$\wedge \mathbb{F} 1$
	$\otimes \quad \otimes$	

Proposition tab.2.

fol:tab:ppr:prop:provability-lor

1. $\{\varphi \vee \psi, \neg \varphi, \neg \psi\}$ is inconsistent.
2. Both $\varphi \vdash \varphi \vee \psi$ and $\psi \vdash \varphi \vee \psi$.

Proof. 1. We give a closed **tableau** of $\{\mathbb{T} \varphi \vee \psi, \mathbb{T} \neg \varphi, \mathbb{T} \neg \psi\}$:

1.	$\mathbb{T} \varphi \vee \psi$	Assumption				
2.	$\mathbb{T} \neg \varphi$	Assumption				
3.	$\mathbb{T} \neg \psi$	Assumption				
4.	$\mathbb{F} \varphi$	$\neg \mathbb{T} 2$				
5.	$\mathbb{F} \psi$	$\neg \mathbb{T} 3$				
$\swarrow \quad \searrow$						
6.	<table style="display: inline-table; vertical-align: middle;"> <tr> <td style="padding-right: 10px;">$\mathbb{T} \varphi$</td> <td style="padding-right: 10px;">$\mathbb{T} \psi$</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">\otimes</td> <td style="text-align: center;">\otimes</td> </tr> </table>	$\mathbb{T} \varphi$	$\mathbb{T} \psi$	\otimes	\otimes	$\vee \mathbb{T} 1$
$\mathbb{T} \varphi$	$\mathbb{T} \psi$					
\otimes	\otimes					

2. Both $\{\mathbb{F} \varphi \vee \psi, \mathbb{T} \varphi\}$ and $\{\mathbb{F} \varphi \vee \psi, \mathbb{T} \psi\}$ have closed **tableaux**:

1.	$\mathbb{F} \varphi \vee \psi$	Assumption
2.	$\mathbb{T} \varphi$	Assumption
3.	$\mathbb{F} \varphi$	$\vee \mathbb{F} 1$
4.	$\mathbb{F} \psi$	$\vee \mathbb{F} 1$
\otimes		

1.	$\mathbb{F} \varphi \vee \psi$	Assumption
2.	$\mathbb{T} \psi$	Assumption
3.	$\mathbb{F} \varphi$	$\vee \mathbb{F} 1$
4.	$\mathbb{F} \psi$	$\vee \mathbb{F} 1$
\otimes		

Proposition tab.3.

1. $\varphi, \varphi \rightarrow \psi \vdash \psi$.

2. Both $\neg \varphi \vdash \varphi \rightarrow \psi$ and $\psi \vdash \varphi \rightarrow \psi$.

*fol:tab:ppr:
prop:provability-lif*

*fol:tab:ppr:
prop:provability-lif-left*

*fol:tab:ppr:
prop:provability-lif-right*

Proof. 1. $\{\mathbb{F} \psi, \mathbb{T} \varphi \rightarrow \psi, \mathbb{T} \varphi\}$ has a closed **tableau**:

1.	$\mathbb{F} \psi$	Assumption				
2.	$\mathbb{T} \varphi \rightarrow \psi$	Assumption				
3.	$\mathbb{T} \varphi$	Assumption				
$\swarrow \quad \searrow$						
4.	<table style="display: inline-table; vertical-align: middle;"> <tr> <td style="padding-right: 10px;">$\mathbb{F} \varphi$</td> <td style="padding-right: 10px;">$\mathbb{T} \psi$</td> </tr> <tr> <td style="text-align: center;">\otimes</td> <td style="text-align: center;">\otimes</td> </tr> </table>	$\mathbb{F} \varphi$	$\mathbb{T} \psi$	\otimes	\otimes	$\rightarrow \mathbb{T} 2$
$\mathbb{F} \varphi$	$\mathbb{T} \psi$					
\otimes	\otimes					

2. Both $\{\mathbb{F} \varphi \rightarrow \psi, \mathbb{T} \neg \varphi\}$ and $\{\mathbb{F} \varphi \rightarrow \psi, \mathbb{T} \psi\}$ have closed **tableaux**:

1. $\mathbb{F} \varphi \rightarrow \psi$ Assumption
 2. $\mathbb{T} \neg \varphi$ Assumption
 3. $\mathbb{T} \varphi$ $\rightarrow \mathbb{F} 1$
 4. $\mathbb{F} \psi$ $\rightarrow \mathbb{F} 1$
 5. $\mathbb{F} \varphi$ $\neg \mathbb{T} 2$
- \otimes

1. $\mathbb{F} \varphi \rightarrow \psi$ Assumption
 2. $\mathbb{T} \psi$ Assumption
 3. $\mathbb{T} \varphi$ $\rightarrow \mathbb{F} 1$
 4. $\mathbb{F} \psi$ $\rightarrow \mathbb{F} 1$
- \otimes

Photo Credits

Bibliography