siz.1 Reduction

sfr:siz:red:

This section proves non-enumerability by reduction, matching the results in ??. An alternative, slightly more condensed version matching the results in ?? is provided in ??.

We showed $\wp(\mathbb{Z}^+)$ to be non-enumerable by a diagonalization argument. We already had a proof that \mathbb{B}^{ω} , the set of all infinite sequences of 0s and 1s, is non-enumerable. Here's another way we can prove that $\wp(\mathbb{Z}^+)$ is non-enumerable: Show that if $\wp(\mathbb{Z}^+)$ is enumerable then \mathbb{B}^{ω} is also enumerable. Since we know \mathbb{B}^{ω} is not enumerable, $\wp(\mathbb{Z}^+)$ can't be either. This is called *reducing* one problem to another—in this case, we reduce the problem of enumerating \mathbb{B}^{ω} to the problem of enumerating $\wp(\mathbb{Z}^+)$. A solution to the latter—an enumeration of $\wp(\mathbb{Z}^+)$ —would yield a solution to the former—an enumeration of \mathbb{B}^{ω} .

How do we reduce the problem of enumerating a set B to that of enumerating a set A? We provide a way of turning an enumeration of A into an enumeration of B. The easiest way to do that is to define a surjective function $f: A \to B$. If x_1, x_2, \ldots enumerates A, then $f(x_1), f(x_2), \ldots$ would enumerate B. In our case, we are looking for a surjective function $f: \wp(\mathbb{Z}^+) \to \mathbb{B}^{\omega}$.

Problem siz.1. Show that if there is an injective function $g: B \to A$, and B is non-enumerable, then so is A. Do this by showing how you can use g to turn an enumeration of A into one of B.

Proof of ?? *by reduction.* Suppose that $\wp(\mathbb{Z}^+)$ were enumerable, and thus that there is an enumeration of it, Z_1, Z_2, Z_3, \ldots

Define the function $f: \wp(\mathbb{Z}^+) \to \mathbb{B}^{\omega}$ by letting f(Z) be the sequence s_k such that $s_k(n) = 1$ iff $n \in Z$, and $s_k(n) = 0$ otherwise. This clearly defines a function, since whenever $Z \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$, any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ either is an element of Z or isn't. For instance, the set $2\mathbb{Z}^+ = \{2, 4, 6, ...\}$ of positive even numbers gets mapped to the sequence 010101..., the empty set gets mapped to 0000... and the set \mathbb{Z}^+ itself to 1111....

It also is surjective: Every sequence of 0s and 1s corresponds to some set of positive integers, namely the one which has as its members those integers corresponding to the places where the sequence has 1s. More precisely, suppose $s \in \mathbb{B}^{\omega}$. Define $Z \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^+$ by:

$$Z = \{ n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ : s(n) = 1 \}$$

Then f(Z) = s, as can be verified by consulting the definition of f. Now consider the list

$$f(Z_1), f(Z_2), f(Z_3), \ldots$$

reduction rev: 6c541de (2024-02-28) by OLP / CC-BY

Since f is surjective, every member of \mathbb{B}^{ω} must appear as a value of f for some argument, and so must appear on the list. This list must therefore enumerate all of \mathbb{B}^{ω} .

So if $\wp(\mathbb{Z}^+)$ were enumerable, \mathbb{B}^{ω} would be enumerable. But \mathbb{B}^{ω} is non-enumerable (??). Hence $\wp(\mathbb{Z}^+)$ is non-enumerable.

explanation

It is easy to be confused about the direction the reduction goes in. For instance, a surjective function $g: \mathbb{B}^{\omega} \to B$ does *not* establish that B is nonenumerable. (Consider $g: \mathbb{B}^{\omega} \to \mathbb{B}$ defined by g(s) = s(1), the function that maps a sequence of 0's and 1's to its first element. It is surjective, because some sequences start with 0 and some start with 1. But \mathbb{B} is finite.) Note also that the function f must be surjective, or otherwise the argument does not go through: $f(x_1), f(x_2), \ldots$ would then not be guaranteed to include all the elements of B. For instance,

$$h(n) = \underbrace{000\dots0}_{n \text{ 0's}}$$

defines a function $h: \mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{B}^{\omega}$, but \mathbb{Z}^+ is enumerable.

Problem siz.2. Show that the set of all *sets of* pairs of positive integers is non-enumerable by a reduction argument.

Problem siz.3. Show that the set X of all functions $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is nonenumerable by a reduction argument (Hint: give a surjective function from X to \mathbb{B}^{ω} .)

Problem siz.4. Show that \mathbb{N}^{ω} , the set of infinite sequences of natural numbers, is non-enumerable by a reduction argument.

Problem siz.5. Let P be the set of functions from the set of positive integers to the set $\{0\}$, and let Q be the set of *partial* functions from the set of positive integers to the set $\{0\}$. Show that P is enumerable and Q is not. (Hint: reduce the problem of enumerating \mathbb{B}^{ω} to enumerating Q).

Problem siz.6. Let S be the set of all surjective functions from the set of positive integers to the set $\{0,1\}$, i.e., S consists of all surjective $f: \mathbb{Z}^+ \to \mathbb{B}$. Show that S is non-enumerable.

Problem siz.7. Show that the set \mathbb{R} of all real numbers is non-enumerable.

Photo Credits

Bibliography