## fun.1 Inverses of Functions

sfr:fun:inv: sec One obvious question about functions is whether a given mapping can be explanation "reversed." For instance, the successor function f(x) = x + 1 can be reversed in the sense that the function g(y) = y - 1 "undoes" what f does. But we must be careful: While the definition of g defines a function  $\mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ , it does not define a function  $\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  ( $g(0) \notin \mathbb{N}$ ). So even in simple cases, it is not quite obvious if functions can be reversed, and that it may depend on the domain and codomain. Let's give a precise definition.

**Definition fun.1.** A function  $g: Y \to X$  is an *inverse* of a function  $f: X \to Y$  if f(g(y)) = y and g(f(x)) = x for all  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ .

When do functions have inverses? A good candidate for an inverse of explanation  $f\colon X\to Y$  is  $g\colon Y\to X$  "defined by"

$$g(y) =$$
 "the" x such that  $f(x) = y$ .

The scare quotes around "defined by" suggest that this is not a definition. At least, it is not in general. For in order for this definition to specify a function, there has to be one and only one x such that f(x) = y—the output of g has to be uniquely specified. Moreover, it has to be specified for every  $y \in Y$ . If there are  $x_1$  and  $x_2 \in X$  with  $x_1 \neq x_2$  but  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ , then g(y) would not be uniquely specified for  $y = f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ . And if there is no x at all such that f(x) = y, then g(y) is not specified at all. In other words, for g to be defined, f has to be injective and surjective.

**Proposition fun.2.** If  $f: X \to Y$  is bijective, f has a unique inverse  $f^{-1}: Y \to X$ .

*Proof.* Exercise. 
$$\Box$$

**Problem fun.1.** Show that if f is bijective, an inverse g of f exists, i.e., define such a g, show that it is a function, and show that it is an inverse of f, i.e., f(g(y)) = y and g(f(x)) = x for all  $x \in X$  and  $y \in Y$ .

**Problem fun.2.** Show that if  $f: X \to Y$  has an inverse g, then f is bijective.

**Problem fun.3.** Show that if  $g: Y \to X$  and  $g': Y \to X$  are inverses of  $f: X \to Y$ , then g = g', i.e., for all  $y \in Y$ , g(y) = g'(y).

## **Photo Credits**

## Bibliography