

## fun.1 Functions and Relations

sfr:fun:rel:  
sec A function which maps **elements** of  $X$  to **elements** of  $Y$  obviously defines explanation a relation between  $X$  and  $Y$ , namely the relation which holds between  $x$  and  $y$  iff  $f(x) = y$ . In fact, we might even—if we are interested in reducing the building blocks of mathematics for instance—*identify* the function  $f$  with this relation, i.e., with a set of pairs. This then raises the question: which relations define functions in this way?

**Definition fun.1** (Graph of a function). Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a partial function. The *graph* of  $f$  is the relation  $R_f \subseteq X \times Y$  defined by

$$R_f = \{(x, y) : f(x) = y\}.$$

**Proposition fun.2.** *Suppose  $R \subseteq X \times Y$  has the property that whenever  $Rxy$  and  $Rxy'$  then  $y = y'$ . Then  $R$  is the graph of the partial function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  defined by: if there is a  $y$  such that  $Rxy$ , then  $f(x) = y$ , otherwise  $f(x) \uparrow$ . If  $R$  is also serial, i.e., for each  $x \in X$  there is a  $y \in Y$  such that  $Rxy$ , then  $f$  is total.*

*Proof.* Suppose there is a  $y$  such that  $Rxy$ . If there were another  $y' \neq y$  such that  $Rxy'$ , the condition on  $R$  would be violated. Hence, if there is a  $y$  such that  $Rxy$ , that  $y$  is unique, and so  $f$  is well-defined. Obviously,  $R_f = R$  and  $f$  is total if  $R$  is serial.  $\square$

**Problem fun.1.** Suppose  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g: Y \rightarrow Z$ . Show that the graph of  $(g \circ f)$  is  $R_f \mid R_g$ .

## Photo Credits

## Bibliography