

## story.1 Frege's Basic Law V

sth:story:blv:  
sec

In ??, we explained that Russell's formulated his paradox as a problem for the system Frege outlined in his *Grundgesetze*. Frege's system did not include a direct formulation of Naïve Comprehension. So, in this appendix, we will very briefly explain what Frege's system *did* include, and how it relates to Naïve Comprehension and how it relates to Russell's Paradox.

Frege's system is *second-order*, and was designed to formulate the notion of an *extension of a concept*. Using notation inspired by Frege, we will write  $\epsilon x F(x)$  for *the extension of the concept F*. This is a device which takes a *predicate*, " $F$ ", and turns it into a (first-order) *term*, " $\epsilon x F(x)$ ". Using this device, Frege offered the following *definition* of membership:

$$a \in b =_{\text{df}} \exists G(b = \epsilon x G(x) \wedge Ga)$$

roughly:  $a \in b$  iff  $a$  falls under a concept whose extension is  $b$ . (Note that the quantifier " $\exists G$ " is second-order.) Frege also maintained the following principle, known as *Basic Law V*:

$$\epsilon x F(x) = \epsilon x G(x) \leftrightarrow \forall x(Fx \leftrightarrow Gx)$$

roughly: concepts have identical extensions iff they are coextensive. (Again, both " $F$ " and " $G$ " are in predicate position.) Now a simple principle connects membership with property-satisfaction:

sth:story:blv:  
lem:Fregeextensions

**Lemma story.1** (working in *Grundgesetze*).  $\forall F \forall a(a \in \epsilon x F(x) \leftrightarrow Fa)$

*Proof.* Fix  $F$  and  $a$ . Now  $a \in \epsilon x F(x)$  iff  $\exists G(\epsilon x F(x) = \epsilon x G(x) \wedge Ga)$  (by the definition of membership) iff  $\exists G(\forall x(Fx \leftrightarrow Gx) \wedge Ga)$  (by Basic Law V) iff  $Fa$  (by elementary second-order logic).  $\square$

And this yields Naïve Comprehension almost immediately:

**Lemma story.2** (working in *Grundgesetze*).  $\forall F \exists s \forall a(a \in s \leftrightarrow Fa)$

*Proof.* Fix  $F$ ; now **Lemma story.1** yields  $\forall a(a \in \epsilon x F(x) \leftrightarrow Fa)$ ; so  $\exists s \forall a(a \in s \leftrightarrow Fa)$  by existential generalisation. The result follows since  $F$  was arbitrary.  $\square$

Russell's Paradox follows by taking  $Fx$  as  $x \notin x$ .

## Photo Credits

## Bibliography