

## card-arithmetic.1 Some Simplification with Cardinal Exponentiation

Whilst defining  $\triangleleft$  was a little involved, the upshot is a useful result concerning cardinal addition and multiplication, **??**. Transfinite exponentiation, however, cannot be simplified so straightforwardly. To explain why, we start with a result which extends a familiar pattern from the finitary case (though its proof is at a high level of abstraction):

**Proposition card-arithmetic.1.**  $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathfrak{b} \oplus \mathfrak{c}} = \mathfrak{a}^{\mathfrak{b}} \otimes \mathfrak{a}^{\mathfrak{c}}$  and  $(\mathfrak{a}^{\mathfrak{b}})^{\mathfrak{c}} = \mathfrak{a}^{\mathfrak{b} \otimes \mathfrak{c}}$ , for any cardinals  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}$ .

*Proof.* For the first claim, consider a function  $f: (\mathfrak{b} \sqcup \mathfrak{c}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ . Now “split this”, by defining  $f_{\mathfrak{b}}(\beta) = f(\beta, 0)$  for each  $\beta \in \mathfrak{b}$ , and  $f_{\mathfrak{c}}(\gamma) = f(\gamma, 1)$  for each  $\gamma \in \mathfrak{c}$ . The map  $f \mapsto (f_{\mathfrak{b}} \times f_{\mathfrak{c}})$  is a bijection  ${}^{\mathfrak{b} \sqcup \mathfrak{c}}\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow ({}^{\mathfrak{b}}\mathfrak{a} \times {}^{\mathfrak{c}}\mathfrak{a})$ .

For the second claim, consider a function  $f: \mathfrak{c} \rightarrow ({}^{\mathfrak{b}}\mathfrak{a})$ ; so for each  $\gamma \in \mathfrak{c}$  we have some function  $f(\gamma): \mathfrak{b} \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}$ . Now define  $f^*(\beta, \gamma) = (f(\gamma))(\beta)$  for each  $\langle \beta, \gamma \rangle \in \mathfrak{b} \times \mathfrak{c}$ . The map  $f \mapsto f^*$  is a bijection  ${}^{\mathfrak{c}}({}^{\mathfrak{b}}\mathfrak{a}) \rightarrow {}^{\mathfrak{b} \otimes \mathfrak{c}}\mathfrak{a}$ .  $\square$

Now, what we would *like* is an easy way to compute  $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathfrak{b}}$  when we are dealing with infinite cardinals. Here is a nice step in this direction:

**Proposition card-arithmetic.2.** If  $2 \leq \mathfrak{a} \leq \mathfrak{b}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  is infinite, then  $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathfrak{b}} = 2^{\mathfrak{b}}$

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{\mathfrak{b}} &\leq \mathfrak{a}^{\mathfrak{b}}, \text{ as } 2 \leq \mathfrak{a} \\ &\leq (2^{\mathfrak{a}})^{\mathfrak{b}}, \text{ by } ?? \\ &= 2^{\mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathfrak{b}}, \text{ by Proposition card-arithmetic.1} \\ &= 2^{\mathfrak{b}}, \text{ by } ?? \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

We should not really expect to be able to simplify this any further, since  $\mathfrak{b} < 2^{\mathfrak{b}}$  by **??**. However, this does not tell us what to say about  $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathfrak{b}}$  when  $\mathfrak{b} < \mathfrak{a}$ . Of course, if  $\mathfrak{b}$  is *finite*, we know what to do.

**Proposition card-arithmetic.3.** If  $\mathfrak{a}$  is infinite and  $n \in \omega$  then  $\mathfrak{a}^n = \mathfrak{a}$

*Proof.*  $\mathfrak{a}^n = \mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathfrak{a} \otimes \dots \otimes \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}$ , by **??**.  $\square$

Additionally, in some other cases, we can control the size of  $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathfrak{b}}$ :

**Proposition card-arithmetic.4.** If  $2 \leq \mathfrak{b} < \mathfrak{a} \leq 2^{\mathfrak{b}}$  and  $\mathfrak{b}$  is infinite, then  $\mathfrak{a}^{\mathfrak{b}} = 2^{\mathfrak{b}}$

*Proof.*  $2^{\mathfrak{b}} \leq \mathfrak{a}^{\mathfrak{b}} \leq (2^{\mathfrak{b}})^{\mathfrak{b}} = 2^{\mathfrak{b} \otimes \mathfrak{b}} = 2^{\mathfrak{b}}$ , reasoning as in **Proposition card-arithmetic.2**.  $\square$

But, beyond this point, things become rather more subtle.

## **Photo Credits**

## **Bibliography**