

fl.1 Filtrations and Properties of Accessibility

mod:fl:acc:
sec

Definition fl.1. Let Γ be closed under subformulas and $\mathfrak{M} = \langle W, R, V \rangle$ a model. Then we can define conditions on pairs of worlds u, v as given in the table of Figure 1.

$C_1(u, v)$:	if $\Box\varphi \in \Gamma$ and $\mathfrak{M}, u \models \Box\varphi$ then $\mathfrak{M}, v \models \varphi$; and if $\Diamond\varphi \in \Gamma$ and $\mathfrak{M}, v \models \varphi$ then $\mathfrak{M}, u \models \Diamond\varphi$;
$C_2(u, v)$:	if $\Box\varphi \in \Gamma$ and $\mathfrak{M}, v \models \Box\varphi$ then $\mathfrak{M}, u \models \varphi$; and if $\Diamond\varphi \in \Gamma$ and $\mathfrak{M}, u \models \varphi$ then $\mathfrak{M}, v \models \Diamond\varphi$;
$C_3(u, v)$:	if $\Box\varphi \in \Gamma$ and $\mathfrak{M}, u \models \Box\varphi$ then $\mathfrak{M}, v \models \Box\varphi$; and if $\Diamond\varphi \in \Gamma$ and $\mathfrak{M}, v \models \Diamond\varphi$ then $\mathfrak{M}, u \models \Diamond\varphi$;
$C_4(u, v)$:	if $\Box\varphi \in \Gamma$ and $\mathfrak{M}, v \models \Box\varphi$ then $\mathfrak{M}, u \models \Box\varphi$; and if $\Diamond\varphi \in \Gamma$ and $\mathfrak{M}, u \models \Diamond\varphi$ then $\mathfrak{M}, v \models \Diamond\varphi$;

Figure 1: Conditions on possible worlds for defining filtrations.

mod:fl:acc:
fig:Cr-filtrations
thm:more-filtrations

Theorem fl.2. Let $\mathfrak{M} = \langle W, R, P \rangle$ be a model, Γ closed under subformulas. Let W^* and V^* be defined as in ???. Then:

1. If R^* is defined as $R^*[u][v]$ if and only if $C_1(uv) \wedge C_2(u, v)$ then R^* is symmetric, and $\mathfrak{M}^* = \langle W^*, R^*, V^* \rangle$ is a filtration if \mathfrak{M} is symmetric.
2. If R^* is defined as $R^*[u][v]$ if and only if $C_1(uv) \wedge C_3(u, v)$ then R^* is transitive, and $\mathfrak{M}^* = \langle W^*, R^*, V^* \rangle$ is a filtration if \mathfrak{M} is transitive.
3. If R^* is defined as $R^*[u][v]$ if and only if $C_1(uv) \wedge C_2(u, v) \wedge C_3(u, v) \wedge C_4(u, v)$ then R^* is symmetric and transitive, and $\mathfrak{M}^* = \langle W^*, R^*, V^* \rangle$ is a filtration if \mathfrak{M} is symmetric and transitive.
4. If R^* is defined as $R^*[u][v]$ if and only if $C_1(uv) \wedge C_3(u, v) \wedge C_4(u, v)$ then R^* is transitive and euclidean, and $\mathfrak{M}^* = \langle W^*, R^*, V^* \rangle$ is a filtration if \mathfrak{M} is transitive and euclidean.

Proof. 1. It's immediate that R^* is symmetric, since $C_1(u, v) \Leftrightarrow C_2(v, u)$ and $C_2(u, v) \Leftrightarrow C_1(v, u)$. So it's left to show that if \mathfrak{M} is symmetric then \mathfrak{M}^* is a filtration through Γ . By condition $C_1(u, v)$ we get that: if $\Box\varphi \in \Gamma$ and $\mathfrak{M}, u \models \Box\varphi$ then $\mathfrak{M}, v \models \varphi$, and if $\Diamond\varphi \in \Gamma$ and $\mathfrak{M}, v \models \varphi$ then $\mathfrak{M}, u \models \Diamond\varphi$. So all we need is that Ruv implies $R^*[u][v]$.

So suppose Ruv , to show $R^*[u][v]$ we need $C_1(u, v) \wedge C_2(u, v)$. For C_1 : if $\Box\varphi \in \Gamma$ and $\mathfrak{M}, u \models \Box\varphi$ then also $\mathfrak{M}, v \models \varphi$ (since Ruv); and similarly if $\Diamond\varphi \in \Gamma$ and $\mathfrak{M}, v \models \varphi$ then $\mathfrak{M}, u \models \Diamond\varphi$. For C_2 : if $\Box\varphi \in \Gamma$ and $\mathfrak{M}, v \models \Box\varphi$ then Ruv implies Rvu by symmetry, so that $\mathfrak{M}, u \models \varphi$; similarly if $\Diamond\varphi \in \Gamma$ and $\mathfrak{M}, u \models \varphi$ then $\mathfrak{M}, v \models \Diamond\varphi$ (since Rvu by symmetry).

2. Exercise.

3. Exercise.

4. Exercise.

□

Problem fil.1. Complete the proof of [Theorem fil.2](#).

Photo Credits

Bibliography