

## fil.1 S5 has the Finite Model Property

mod:fil:fmp:  
sec

**Definition fil.1.** A system  $\Sigma$  of modal logic is said to have the *finite model property* if whenever a formula  $\varphi$  is true at a world in a model of  $\Sigma$  then  $\varphi$  is true at a world in a *finite* model of  $\Sigma$ .

mod:fil:fmp:  
prop:univ-fin

**Proposition fil.2.** Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the class of universal models (see ??) and  $\mathcal{U}_{\text{Fin}}$  the class of all finite universal models. Then any formula  $\varphi$  is valid in  $\mathcal{U}$  if and only if it is valid in  $\mathcal{U}_{\text{Fin}}$ .

*Proof.* Finite universal models are universal models, so the left-to-right direction is trivial. For the right-to-left direction, suppose that  $\varphi$  is false at some world  $w$  in a universal model  $\mathfrak{M}$ . Let  $\Gamma$  contain  $\varphi$  as well as all of its subformulas; clearly  $\Gamma$  is finite. Take a filtration  $\mathfrak{M}^*$  of  $\mathfrak{M}$ ; then  $\mathfrak{M}^*$  is finite by ??, and by ??,  $\varphi$  is false at  $[w]$  in  $\mathfrak{M}^*$ . It remains to observe that  $\mathfrak{M}^*$  is also universal: given  $u$  and  $v$ , by hypothesis  $Ruv$  and by Definition ?????, also  $R^*[u][v]$ .  $\square$

mod:fil:fmp:  
cor:S5fmp

**Corollary fil.3.** S5 has the finite model property.

*Proof.* By ?? and Proposition fil.2, if  $\varphi$  is true at a world in some reflexive and euclidean model then it is true at a world in a finite universal model (universal models are obviously reflexive and euclidean).  $\square$

**Problem fil.1.** Show that any filtration of a serial or reflexive model is also serial or reflexive (respectively).

**Problem fil.2.** Find a non-symmetric (non-transitive, non-euclidean) filtration of a symmetric (transitive, euclidean) model.

## Photo Credits

## Bibliography