

mar.1 Standard Models of Arithmetic

mod:mar:stm:
sec

The language of arithmetic \mathcal{L}_A is obviously intended to be about numbers, specifically, about natural numbers. So, “the” standard model \mathfrak{N} is special: it is the model we want to talk about. But in logic, we are often just interested in structural properties, and any two structures that are isomorphic share those. So we can be a bit more liberal, and consider any structure that is isomorphic to \mathfrak{N} “standard.”

Definition mar.1. A structure for \mathcal{L}_A is *standard* if it is isomorphic to \mathfrak{N} .

mod:mar:stm:
prop:standard-domain

Proposition mar.2. If a structure \mathfrak{M} is standard, its domain is the set of values of the standard numerals, i.e.,

$$|\mathfrak{M}| = \{\text{Val}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\bar{n}) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

Proof. Clearly, every $\text{Val}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\bar{n}) \in |\mathfrak{M}|$. We just have to show that every $x \in |\mathfrak{M}|$ is equal to $\text{Val}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\bar{n})$ for some n . Since \mathfrak{M} is standard, it is isomorphic to \mathfrak{N} . Suppose $g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow |\mathfrak{M}|$ is an isomorphism. Then $g(n) = g(\text{Val}^{\mathfrak{N}}(\bar{n})) = \text{Val}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\bar{n})$. But for every $x \in |\mathfrak{M}|$, there is an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g(n) = x$, since g is surjective. \square

If a structure \mathfrak{M} for \mathcal{L}_A is standard, the elements of its domain can all be named by the standard numerals $\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{2}, \dots$, i.e., the terms o, o', o'' , etc. Of course, this does not mean that the elements of $|\mathfrak{M}|$ are the numbers, just that we can pick them out the same way we can pick out the numbers in $|\mathfrak{N}|$. explanation

Problem mar.1. Show that the converse of Proposition mar.2 is false, i.e., give an example of a structure \mathfrak{M} with $|\mathfrak{M}| = \{\text{Val}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\bar{n}) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ that is not isomorphic to \mathfrak{N} .

mod:mar:stm:
prop:thq-standard

Proposition mar.3. If $\mathfrak{M} \models \mathbf{Q}$, and $|\mathfrak{M}| = \{\text{Val}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\bar{n}) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, then \mathfrak{M} is standard.

Proof. We have to show that \mathfrak{M} is isomorphic to \mathfrak{N} . Consider the function $g: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow |\mathfrak{M}|$ defined by $g(n) = \text{Val}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\bar{n})$. By the hypothesis, g is surjective. It is also injective: $\mathbf{Q} \vdash \bar{n} \neq \bar{m}$ whenever $n \neq m$. Thus, since $\mathfrak{M} \models \mathbf{Q}$, $\mathfrak{M} \models \bar{n} \neq \bar{m}$, whenever $n \neq m$. Thus, if $n \neq m$, then $\text{Val}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\bar{n}) \neq \text{Val}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\bar{m})$, i.e., $g(n) \neq g(m)$.

We also have to verify that g is an isomorphism.

1. We have $g(o^{\mathfrak{N}}) = g(0)$ since, $o^{\mathfrak{N}} = 0$. By definition of g , $g(0) = \text{Val}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\bar{0})$. But $\bar{0}$ is just o , and the value of a term which happens to be a constant symbol is given by what the structure assigns to that constant symbol, i.e., $\text{Val}^{\mathfrak{M}}(o) = o^{\mathfrak{M}}$. So we have $g(o^{\mathfrak{N}}) = o^{\mathfrak{M}}$ as required.
2. $g(\iota^{\mathfrak{N}}(n)) = g(n+1)$, since ι in \mathfrak{N} is the successor function on \mathbb{N} . Then, $g(n+1) = \text{Val}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\overline{n+1})$ by definition of g . But $\overline{n+1}$ is the same term as \bar{n}' , so $\text{Val}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\overline{n+1}) = \text{Val}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\bar{n}')$. By the definition of the value function, this is $\iota^{\mathfrak{M}}(\text{Val}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\bar{n}))$. Since $\text{Val}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\bar{n}) = g(n)$ we get $g(\iota^{\mathfrak{N}}(n)) = \iota^{\mathfrak{M}}(g(n))$.

3. $g(+^{\mathfrak{N}}(n, m)) = g(n + m)$, since $+$ in \mathfrak{N} is the addition function on \mathbb{N} . Then, $g(n + m) = \text{Val}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\overline{n + m})$ by definition of g . But $\mathbf{Q} \vdash \overline{n + m} = (\overline{n} + \overline{m})$, so $\text{Val}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\overline{n + m}) = \text{Val}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\overline{n} + \overline{m})$. By the definition of the value function, this is $= +^{\mathfrak{M}}(\text{Val}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\overline{n}), \text{Val}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\overline{m}))$. Since $\text{Val}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\overline{n}) = g(n)$ and $\text{Val}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\overline{m}) = g(m)$, we get $g(+^{\mathfrak{N}}(n, m)) = +^{\mathfrak{M}}(g(n), g(m))$.
4. $g(\times^{\mathfrak{N}}(n, m)) = \times^{\mathfrak{M}}(g(n), g(m))$: Exercise.
5. $\langle n, m \rangle \in <^{\mathfrak{N}}$ iff $n < m$. If $n < m$, then $\mathbf{Q} \vdash \overline{n} < \overline{m}$, and also $\mathfrak{M} \models \overline{n} < \overline{m}$. Thus $\langle \text{Val}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\overline{n}), \text{Val}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\overline{m}) \rangle \in <^{\mathfrak{M}}$, i.e., $\langle g(n), g(m) \rangle \in <^{\mathfrak{M}}$. If $n \not< m$, then $\mathbf{Q} \vdash \neg \overline{n} < \overline{m}$, and consequently $\mathfrak{M} \not\models \overline{n} < \overline{m}$. Thus, as before, $\langle g(n), g(m) \rangle \notin <^{\mathfrak{M}}$. Together, we get: $\langle n, m \rangle \in <^{\mathfrak{N}}$ iff $\langle g(n), g(m) \rangle \in <^{\mathfrak{M}}$.

□

explanation

The function g is the most obvious way of defining a mapping from \mathbb{N} to the domain of any other **structure** \mathfrak{M} for \mathcal{L}_A , since every such \mathfrak{M} contains **elements** named by $\overline{0}$, $\overline{1}$, $\overline{2}$, etc. So it isn't surprising that if \mathfrak{M} makes at least some basic statements about the \overline{n} 's true in the same way that \mathfrak{N} does, and g is also bijective, then g will turn into an isomorphism. In fact, if $|\mathfrak{M}|$ contains no **elements** other than what the \overline{n} 's name, it's the only one.

Proposition mar.4. *If \mathfrak{M} is standard, then g from the proof of Proposition mar.3 is the only isomorphism from \mathfrak{N} to \mathfrak{M} .*

mod:mar:stm:
prop:thq-unique-iso

Proof. Suppose $h: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow |\mathfrak{M}|$ is an isomorphism between \mathfrak{N} and \mathfrak{M} . We show that $g = h$ by induction on n . If $n = 0$, then $g(0) = o^{\mathfrak{M}}$ by definition of g . But since h is an isomorphism, $h(0) = h(o^{\mathfrak{N}}) = o^{\mathfrak{M}}$, so $g(0) = h(0)$.

Now consider the case for $n + 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
g(n + 1) &= \text{Val}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\overline{n + 1}) \text{ by definition of } g \\
&= \text{Val}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\overline{n'} \\
&= \iota^{\mathfrak{M}}(\text{Val}^{\mathfrak{M}}(\overline{n})) \\
&= \iota^{\mathfrak{M}}(g(n)) \text{ by definition of } g \\
&= \iota^{\mathfrak{M}}(h(n)) \text{ by induction hypothesis} \\
&= h(\iota^{\mathfrak{N}}(n)) \text{ since } h \text{ is an isomorphism} \\
&= h(n + 1)
\end{aligned}$$

□

explanation

For any **denumerable** set X , there's a **bijection** between \mathbb{N} and X , so every such set X is potentially the **domain** of a standard model. In fact, once you pick an object $z \in X$ and a suitable function $s: X \rightarrow X$ as $o^{\mathfrak{X}}$ and $\iota^{\mathfrak{X}}$, the interpretation of $+$, \times , and $<$ is already fixed. Only functions $s = \iota^{\mathfrak{X}}$ that are both **injective** and **surjective** are suitable in a standard model. It has to be

injective since the successor function in \mathfrak{N} is, and that $/'$ is injective is expressed by a sentence true in \mathfrak{N} which \mathfrak{X} thus also has to make true. It has to be surjective because otherwise there would be some $x \in X$ not in the domain of s , i.e., the sentence $\forall x \exists y y' = x$ would be false—but it is true in \mathfrak{N} .

Photo Credits

Bibliography