## mar.1 Models of Q

We know that there are non-standard structures that make the same sentences true as  $\mathfrak{N}$  does, i.e., is a model of **TA**. Since  $\mathfrak{N} \models \mathbf{Q}$ , any model of **TA** is also a model of **Q**. **Q** is much weaker than **TA**, e.g.,  $\mathbf{Q} \nvDash \forall x \forall y \, (x+y) = (y+x)$ . Weaker theories are easier to satisfy: they have more models. E.g., **Q** has models which make  $\forall x \forall y \, (x+y) = (y+x)$  false, but those cannot also be models of **TA**, or **PA** for that matter. Models of **Q** are also relatively simple: we can specify them explicitly.

 $\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{mod:mar:mdq:} \\ \operatorname{ex:model-K-of-Q} \end{array}$ 

**Example mar.1.** Consider the structure  $\mathfrak{K}$  with domain  $|\mathfrak{K}| = \mathbb{N} \cup \{a\}$  and interpretations

$$o^{\mathfrak{K}} = 0$$

$$f^{\mathfrak{K}}(x) = \begin{cases} x+1 & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{N} \\ a & \text{if } x = a \end{cases}$$

$$+^{\mathfrak{K}}(x,y) = \begin{cases} x+y & \text{if } x, y \in \mathbb{N} \\ a & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\times^{\mathfrak{K}}(x,y) = \begin{cases} xy & \text{if } x, y \in \mathbb{N} \\ a & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$<^{\mathfrak{K}} = \{ \langle x, y \rangle : x, y \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } x < y \} \cup \{ \langle x, a \rangle : x \in |\mathfrak{K}| \}$$

To show that  $\mathfrak{K} \models \mathbf{Q}$  we have to verify that all axioms of  $\mathbf{Q}$  are true in  $\mathfrak{K}$ . For convenience, let's write  $x^*$  for  $r^{\mathfrak{K}}(x)$  (the "successor" of x in  $\mathfrak{K}$ ),  $x \oplus y$  for  $+^{\mathfrak{K}}(x,y)$  (the "sum" of x and y in  $\mathfrak{K}$ ,  $x \otimes y$  for  $x \otimes y$  for

We have  $n \otimes m$  iff n < m for  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $x \otimes a$  for all  $x \in |\mathfrak{K}|$ .

 $\mathfrak{K}\models \forall x\,\forall y\,(x'=y'\to x=y) \text{ since }*\text{ is injective. } \mathfrak{K}\models \forall x\,\mathtt{0}\neq x' \text{ since } 0$  is not a \*-successor in  $\mathfrak{K}$ .  $\mathfrak{N}\models \forall x\,(x\neq\mathtt{0}\to\exists y\,x=y')$  since for every n>0,  $n=(n-1)^*,$  and  $a=a^*.$ 

 $\mathfrak{K} \models \forall x (x + 0) = x$  since  $n \oplus 0 = n + 0 = n$ , and  $a \oplus 0 = a$  by definition of  $\oplus$ .  $\mathfrak{K} \models \forall x \forall y (x + y') = (x + y)'$  is a bit trickier. If n, m are both standard, we have:

$$(n \oplus m^*) = (n + (m+1)) = (n+m) + 1 = (n \oplus m)^*$$

since  $\oplus$  and \* agree with + and  $\prime$  on standard numbers. Now suppose  $x \in |\mathfrak{K}|$ . Then

$$(x \oplus a^*) = (x \oplus a) = a = a^* = (x \oplus a)^*$$

models-of-q by OLP / CC-BY

The remaining case is if  $y \in |\mathfrak{K}|$  but x = a. Here we also have to distinguish cases according to whether y = n is standard or y = b:

$$(a \oplus n^*) = (a \oplus (n+1)) = a = a^* = (x \oplus n)^*$$
  
 $(a \oplus a^*) = (a \oplus a) = a = a^* = (x \oplus a)^*$ 

This is of course a bit more detailed than needed. For instance, since  $a \oplus z = a$  whatever z is, we can immediately conclude  $a \oplus a^* = a$ . The remaining axioms can be verified the same way.

 $\mathfrak K$  is thus a model of  $\mathbf Q$ . Its "addition"  $\oplus$  is also commutative. But there are other sentences true in  $\mathfrak N$  but false in  $\mathfrak K$ , and vice versa. For instance,  $a \otimes a$ , so  $\mathfrak K \models \exists x \ x < x$  and  $\mathfrak K \not\models \forall x \neg x < x$ . This shows that  $\mathbf Q \not\vdash \forall x \neg x < x$ .

**Problem mar.1.** Prove that  $\mathfrak{K}$  from Example mar.1 satisfies the remaining axioms of  $\mathbf{Q}$ ,

$$\forall x (x \times 0) = 0 \tag{Q_6}$$

$$\forall x \,\forall y \,(x \times y') = ((x \times y) + x) \tag{Q7}$$

$$\forall x \, \forall y \, (x < y \leftrightarrow \exists z \, (x + z' = y)) \tag{Q_8}$$

Find a sentence only involving  $\prime$  true in  $\mathfrak N$  but false in  $\mathfrak K$ .

**Example mar.2.** Consider the structure  $\mathfrak{L}$  with domain  $|\mathfrak{L}| = \mathbb{N} \cup \{a, b\}$  and mod:mar:mdq: interpretations  $I^{\mathfrak{L}} = *, +^{\mathfrak{L}} = \oplus$  given by

Since \* is injective, 0 is not in its range, and every  $x \in |\mathfrak{L}|$  other than 0 is, axioms  $Q_1 - Q_3$  are true in  $\mathfrak{L}$ . For any x,  $x \oplus 0 = x$ , so  $Q_4$  is true as well. For  $Q_5$ , consider  $x \oplus y^*$  and  $(x \oplus y)^*$ . They are equal if x and y are both standard, since then \* and  $\oplus$  agree with ' and +. If x is non-standard, and y is standard, we have  $x \oplus y^* = x = x^* = (x \oplus y)^*$ . If x and y are both non-standard, we have four cases:

$$a \oplus a^* = b = b^* = (a \oplus a)^*$$
  
 $b \oplus b^* = a = a^* = (b \oplus b)^*$   
 $b \oplus a^* = b = b^* = (b \oplus y)^*$   
 $a \oplus b^* = a = a^* = (a \oplus b)^*$ 

If x is standard, but y is non-standard, we have

$$n \oplus a^* = n \oplus a = b = b^* = (n \oplus a)^*$$
  

$$n \oplus b^* = n \oplus b = a = a^* = (n \oplus b)^*$$

So,  $\mathfrak{L} \models Q_5$ . However,  $a \oplus 0 \neq 0 \oplus a$ , so  $\mathfrak{L} \not\models \forall x \forall y (x+y) = (y+x)$ .

**Problem mar.2.** Expand  $\mathfrak{L}$  of Example mar.2 to include  $\otimes$  and  $\otimes$  that interpret  $\times$  and <. Show that your structure satisfies the remaining axioms of  $\mathbf{Q}$ ,

$$\forall x (x \times 0) = 0 \tag{Q_6}$$

$$\forall x \,\forall y \,(x \times y') = ((x \times y) + x) \tag{Q7}$$

$$\forall x \,\forall y \,(x < y \leftrightarrow \exists z \,(x + z' = y)) \tag{Q_8}$$

**Problem mar.3.** In  $\mathfrak L$  of Example mar.2,  $a^* = a$  and  $b^* = b$ . Is there a model of  $\mathbf Q$  in which  $a^* = b$  and  $b^* = a$ ?

We've explicitly constructed models of  $\mathbf{Q}$  in which the non-standard elements live "beyond" the standard elements. In fact, that much is required by the axioms. A non-standard element x cannot be  $\otimes 0$ . Otherwise, for some z,  $x \oplus z^* = 0$  by Q8. But then  $0 = x \oplus z^* = (x \oplus z)^*$  by  $Q_5$ , contradicting  $Q_2$ . Also, for every n,  $\mathbf{Q} \vdash \forall x \, (x < \overline{n}' \to (x = \overline{0} \lor x = \overline{1} \lor \cdots \lor x = \overline{n}))$ , so we can't have  $a \otimes n$  for any n > 0.

## **Photo Credits**

## **Bibliography**