

## ind.1 Structural Induction

math:ind:sti:  
sec

So far we have used induction to establish results about all natural numbers. But a corresponding principle can be used directly to prove results about all **elements** of an inductively defined set. This is often called *structural* induction, because it depends on the structure of the inductively defined objects.

Generally, an inductive definition is given by (a) a list of “initial” **elements** of the set and (b) a list of operations which produce new **elements** of the set from old ones. In the case of parexpressions, for instance, the initial object is  $\emptyset$  and the operations are

$$\begin{aligned}o_1(p) &= (p) \\ o_2(q, q') &= qq'\end{aligned}$$

You can even think of the natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$  themselves as being given by an inductive definition: the initial object is 0, and the operation is the successor function  $x + 1$ .

In order to prove something about all elements of an inductively defined set, i.e., that every **element** of the set has a property  $P$ , we must:

1. Prove that the initial objects have  $P$
2. Prove that for each operation  $o$ , if the arguments have  $P$ , so does the result.

For instance, in order to prove something about all parexpressions, we would prove that it is true about  $\emptyset$ , that it is true of  $(p)$  provided it is true of  $p$ , and that it is true about  $qq'$  provided it is true of  $q$  and  $q'$  individually.

**Proposition ind.1.** *The number of ( equals the number of ) in any parexpression  $p$ .*

*Proof.* We use structural induction. Parexpressions are inductively defined, with initial object  $\emptyset$  and the operations  $o_1$  and  $o_2$ .

1. The claim is true for  $\emptyset$ , since the number of ( in  $\emptyset = 0$  and the number of ) in  $\emptyset$  also = 0.
2. Suppose the number of ( in  $p$  equals the number of ) in  $p$ . We have to show that this is also true for  $(p)$ , i.e.,  $o_1(p)$ . But the number of ( in  $(p)$  is  $1 +$  the number of ( in  $p$ . And the number of ) in  $(p)$  is  $1 +$  the number of ) in  $p$ , so the claim also holds for  $(p)$ .
3. Suppose the number of ( in  $q$  equals the number of ) , and the same is true for  $q'$ . The number of ( in  $o_2(p, q')$ , i.e., in  $pp'$ , is the sum of the number ( in  $p$  and  $q'$ . The number of ) in  $o_2(p, q')$ , i.e., in  $pp'$ , is the sum of the number of ) in  $p$  and  $q'$ . The number of ( in  $o_2(p, q')$  equals the number of ) in  $o_2(p, q')$ .

The result follows by structural induction.

□

## **Photo Credits**

## **Bibliography**