ind.1 Structural Induction

So far we have used induction to establish results about all natural numbers. But a corresponding principle can be used directly to prove results about all elements of an inductively defined set. This often called structural induction, because it depends on the structure of the inductively defined objects.

Generally, an inductive definition is given by (a) a list of “initial” elements of the set and (b) a list of operations which produce new elements of the set from old ones. In the case of nice terms, for instance, the initial objects are the letters. We only have one operation: the operations are

\[ o(s, s') = [s \circ s'] \]

You can even think of the natural numbers \( \mathbb{N} \) themselves as being given be an inductive definition: the initial object is 0, and the operation is the successor function \( x + 1 \).

In order to prove something about all elements of an inductively defined set, i.e., that every element of the set has a property \( P \), we must:

1. Prove that the initial objects have \( P \)
2. Prove that for each operation \( o \), if the arguments have \( P \), so does the result.

For instance, in order to prove something about all nice terms, we would prove that it is true about all letters, and that it is true about \([s \circ s']\) provided it is true of \( s \) and \( s' \) individually.

**Proposition ind.1.** The number of [ equals the number of ] in any nice term \( t \).

**Proof.** We use structural induction. Nice terms are inductively defined, with letters as initial objects and the operations \( o \) for constructing new nice terms out of old ones.

1. The claim is true for every letter, since the number of [ in a letter by itself is 0 and the number of ] in it is also 0.

2. Suppose the number of [ in \( s \) equals the number of ], and the same is true for \( s' \). The number of [ in \( o(s, s') \), i.e., in \([s \circ s']\), is the sum of the number of [ in \( s \) and \( s' \). The number of [ in \( o(s, s') \) is the sum of the number of ] in \( s \) and \( s' \). Thus, the number of [ in \( o(s, s') \) equals the number of [ in \( o(s, s') \). \( \square \)

**Problem ind.1.** Prove by structural induction that no nice term starts with [].

Let’s give another proof by structural induction: a proper initial segment of a string of symbols \( t \) is any string \( t' \) that agrees with \( t \) symbol by symbol, read from the left, but \( t' \) is longer. So, e.g., \([a \circ b]\) is a proper initial segment of \([a \circ b]\), but neither are \([b \circ a]\) (they disagree at the second symbol) nor \([a \circ b]\) (they are the same length).
Proposition ind.2. Every proper initial segment of a nice term $t$ has more $[\ 's$ than $']s$. 

Proof. By induction on $t$:

1. $t$ is a letter by itself: Then $t$ has no proper initial segments.

2. $t = [s \circ s']$ for some nice terms $s$ and $s'$. If $r$ is a proper initial segment of $t$, there are a number of possibilities:
   a) $r$ is just $['$: Then $r$ has one more $[ \ than \ it \ does \ ].$
   b) $r$ is $[r']$ where $r'$ is a proper initial segment of $s$: Since $s$ is a nice term, by induction hypothesis, $r'$ has more $[ \ than \ ]$ and the same is true for $[r']$.
   c) $r$ is $[s \ or \ s \circ ]$: By the previous result, the number of $[ \ and \ ]$ in $s$ is equal; so the number of $]$ in $s$ or $[s \circ$ is one more than the number of $]$.
   d) $r$ is $[s \circ r']$ where $r'$ is a proper initial segment of $s'$: By induction hypothesis, $r'$ contains more $[ \ than \ ]$. By the previous result, the number of $[ \ and \ of \ ]$ in $s$ is equal. So the number of $[ \ in \ [s \circ r' \ is greater than the number of $].$
   e) $r$ is $[s \circ s']$: By the previous result, the number of $[ \ and \ ]$ in $s$ is equal, and the same for $s'$. So there is one more $[ \ in \ [s \circ s' \ than \ there \ are \ ].$

\qed
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