

ind.1 Induction on \mathbb{N}

math:ind:inN:
sec

In its simplest form, induction is a technique used to prove results for all natural numbers. It uses the fact that by starting from 0 and repeatedly adding 1 we eventually reach every natural number. So to prove that something is true for every number, we can (1) establish that it is true for 0 and (2) show that whenever a number has it, the next number has it too. If we abbreviate “number n has property P ” by $P(n)$, then a proof by induction that $P(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ consists of:

1. a proof of $P(0)$, and
2. a proof that, for any n , if $P(n)$ then $P(n + 1)$.

To make this crystal clear, suppose we have both (1) and (2). Then (1) tells us that $P(0)$ is true. If we also have (2), we know in particular that if $P(0)$ then $P(0 + 1)$, i.e., $P(1)$. (This follows from the general statement “for any n , if $P(n)$ then $P(n + 1)$ ” by putting 0 for n . So by modus ponens, we have that $P(1)$. From (2) again, now taking 1 for n , we have: if $P(1)$ then $P(2)$. Since we’ve just established $P(1)$, by modus ponens, we have $P(2)$. And so on. For any number k , after doing this k steps, we eventually arrive at $P(k)$. So (1) and (2) together established $P(k)$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let’s look at an example. Suppose we want to find out how many different sums we can throw with n dice. Although it might seem silly, let’s start with 0 dice. If you have no dice there’s only one possible sum you can “throw”: no dots at all, which sums to 0. So the number of different possible throws is 1. If you have only one die, i.e., $n = 1$, there are six possible values, 1 through 6. With two dice, we can throw any sum from 2 through 12, that’s 11 possibilities. With three dice, we can throw any number from 3 to 18, i.e., 16 different possibilities. 1, 6, 11, 16: looks like a pattern: maybe the answer is $5n + 1$? Of course, $5n + 1$ is the maximum possible, because there are only $5n + 1$ numbers between n , the lowest value you can throw with n dice (all 1’s) and $6n$, the highest you can throw (all 6’s).

Theorem ind.1. *With n dice one can throw all $5n + 1$ possible values between n and $6n$.*

Proof. Let $P(n)$ be the claim: “It is possible to throw any number between n and $6n$ using n dice.” To use induction, we prove:

1. The *induction basis* $P(1)$, i.e., with just one die, you can throw any number between 1 and 6.
2. The *induction step*, for all k , if $P(k)$ then $P(k + 1)$.

(1) Is proved by inspecting a 6-sided die. It has all 6 sides, and every number between 1 and 6 shows up one on of the sides. So it is possible to throw any number between 1 and 6 using a single die.

To prove (2), we assume the antecedent of the conditional, i.e., $P(k)$. This assumption is called the *inductive hypothesis*. We use it to prove $P(k+1)$. The hard part is to find a way of thinking about the possible values of a throw of $k+1$ dice in terms of the possible values of throws of k dice plus of throws of the extra $k+1$ -st die—this is what we have to do, though, if we want to use the inductive hypothesis.

The inductive hypothesis says we can get any number between k and $6k$ using k dice. If we throw a 1 with our $(k+1)$ -st die, this adds 1 to the total. So we can throw any value between $k+1$ and $6k+1$ by throwing k dice and then rolling a 1 with the $(k+1)$ -st die. What's left? The values $6k+2$ through $6k+6$. We can get these by rolling k 6s and then a number between 2 and 6 with our $(k+1)$ -st die. Together, this means that with $k+1$ dice we can throw any of the numbers between $k+1$ and $6(k+1)$, i.e., we've proved $P(k+1)$ using the assumption $P(k)$, the inductive hypothesis. \square

Very often we use induction when we want to prove something about a series of objects (numbers, sets, etc.) that is itself defined “inductively,” i.e., by defining the $(n+1)$ -st object in terms of the n -th. For instance, we can define the sum s_n of the natural numbers up to n by

$$\begin{aligned}s_0 &= 0 \\ s_{n+1} &= s_n + (n+1)\end{aligned}$$

This definition gives:

$$\begin{aligned}s_0 &= 0, \\ s_1 &= s_0 + 1 &&= 1, \\ s_2 &= s_1 + 2 &&= 1 + 2 = 3 \\ s_3 &= s_2 + 3 &&= 1 + 2 + 3 = 6, \text{ etc.}\end{aligned}$$

Now we can prove, by induction, that $s_n = n(n+1)/2$.

Proposition ind.2. $s_n = n(n+1)/2$.

Proof. We have to prove (1) that $s_0 = 0 \cdot (0+1)/2$ and (2) if $s_n = n(n+1)/2$ then $s_{n+1} = (n+1)(n+2)/2$. (1) is obvious. To prove (2), we assume the inductive hypothesis: $s_n = n(n+1)/2$. Using it, we have to show that $s_{n+1} = (n+1)(n+2)/2$.

What is s_{n+1} ? By the definition, $s_{n+1} = s_n + (n+1)$. By inductive hypothesis, $s_n = n(n+1)/2$. We can substitute this into the previous equation,

and then just need a bit of arithmetic of fractions:

$$\begin{aligned} s_{n+1} &= \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + (n+1) = \\ &= \frac{n(n+1)}{2} + \frac{2(n+1)}{2} = \\ &= \frac{n(n+1) + 2(n+1)}{2} = \\ &= \frac{(n+2)(n+1)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

□

The important lesson here is that if you're proving something about some inductively defined sequence a_n , induction is the obvious way to go. And even if it isn't (as in the case of the possibilities of dice throws), you can use induction if you can somehow relate the case for $n+1$ to the case for n .

Photo Credits

Bibliography