

## seq.1 Rules and Derivations

mvl:seq:rul:  
sec For the following, let  $\Gamma, \Delta, \Pi, \Lambda$  represent finite sequences of **sentences**.

**Definition seq.1 (Sequent).** An  $n$ -sided *sequent* is an expression of the form

$$\Gamma_1 \mid \dots \mid \Gamma_n$$

where each  $\Gamma_i$  is a finite (possibly empty) sequences of **sentences** of the language  $\mathcal{L}$ .

**Definition seq.2 (Initial Sequent).** An  $n$ -sided *initial sequent* is an  $n$ -sided sequent of the form  $\varphi \mid \dots \mid \varphi$  for any **sentence**  $\varphi$  in the language.

If the language contains a 0-place connective  $\star$ , i.e., a propositional constant, then we also take the sequent  $\dots \mid \star \mid \dots$  where  $\star$  appears in the space for the truth value associated with  $\tilde{\star} \in V$ , and is empty otherwise.

For each connective of an  $n$ -valued logic  $\mathbf{L}$ , there is a logical rule for each truth value that this connective can take in  $\mathbf{L}$ . **Derivations** in an  $n$ -sided sequent calculus for  $\mathbf{L}$  are trees of sequents, where the topmost sequents are initial sequents, and if a sequent stands below one or more other sequents, it must follow correctly by a rule of inference for the connectives of  $\mathbf{L}$ .

**Definition seq.3 (Theorems).** A **sentence**  $\varphi$  is a *theorem* of an  $n$ -valued logic  $\mathbf{L}$  if there is a **derivation** of the  $n$ -sequent containing  $\varphi$  in each position corresponding to a designated truth value of  $\mathbf{L}$ . We write  $\vdash_{\mathbf{L}} \varphi$  if  $\varphi$  is a theorem and  $\not\vdash_{\mathbf{L}} \varphi$  if it is not.

**Definition seq.4 (Derivability).** A **sentence**  $\varphi$  is *derivable from* a set of **sentences**  $\Gamma$  in an  $n$ -valued logic  $\mathbf{L}$ ,  $\Gamma \vdash_{\mathbf{L}} \varphi$ , iff there is a finite subset  $\Gamma_0 \subseteq \Gamma$  and a sequence  $\Gamma'_0$  of the **sentences** in  $\Gamma_0$  such that the following sequent has a **derivation**:

$$\Lambda_1 \mid \dots \mid \Lambda_n$$

where  $\Lambda_i$  is  $\varphi$  if position  $i$  corresponds to a designated truth value, and  $\Gamma'_0$  otherwise. If  $\varphi$  is not **derivable** from  $\Gamma$  we write  $\Gamma \not\vdash \varphi$ .

For instance, 3-valued Łukasiewicz logic has a 3-sided sequent calculus. In a 3-sided sequent  $\Gamma \mid \Pi \mid \Delta$ ,  $\Gamma$  corresponds to  $\mathbb{F}$ ,  $\Delta$  to  $\mathbb{T}$ , and  $\Pi$  to  $\mathbb{U}$ . Axioms are  $\varphi \mid \varphi \mid \varphi$ . Since only  $\mathbb{T}$  is designated,  $\Gamma \vdash_{\mathbf{L}_3} \varphi$  iff the sequent  $\Gamma \mid \Gamma \mid \varphi$  has a **derivation**. (If  $\mathbb{U}$  were also designated, we would need a **derivation** of  $\Gamma \mid \varphi \mid \varphi$ .)

## Photo Credits

## Bibliography