

## int.1 $\lambda$ -Definable Arithmetical Functions

lam:int:rep:  
sec

How can the lambda calculus serve as a model of computation? At first, it is not even clear how to make sense of this statement. To talk about computability on the natural numbers, we need to find a suitable representation for such numbers. Here is one that works surprisingly well.

**Definition int.1.** For each natural number  $n$ , define the *Church numeral*  $\bar{n}$  to be the lambda term  $\lambda x. \lambda y. (x(x(x(\dots x(y))))))$ , where there are  $n$   $x$ 's in all.

The terms  $\bar{n}$  are “iterators”: on input  $f$ ,  $\bar{n}$  returns the function mapping  $y$  to  $f^n(y)$ . Note that each numeral is normal. We can now say what it means for a lambda term to “compute” a function on the natural numbers.

**Definition int.2.** Let  $f(x_0, \dots, x_{k-1})$  be an  $n$ -ary partial function from  $\mathbb{N}$  to  $\mathbb{N}$ . We say a  $\lambda$ -term  $F$   *$\lambda$ -defines*  $f$  iff for every sequence of natural numbers  $n_0, \dots, n_{k-1}$ ,

$$F \overline{n_0} \overline{n_1} \dots \overline{n_{k-1}} \twoheadrightarrow \overline{f(n_0, n_1, \dots, n_{k-1})}$$

if  $f(n_0, \dots, n_{k-1})$  is defined, and  $F, \overline{n_0} \overline{n_1} \dots \overline{n_{k-1}}$  has no normal form otherwise.

lam:int:rep:  
thm:lambda-def

**Theorem int.3.** *A function  $f$  is a partial computable function if and only if it is  $\lambda$ -defined by a lambda term.*

This theorem is somewhat striking. As a model of computation, the lambda calculus is a rather simple calculus; the only operations are lambda abstraction and application! From these meager resources, however, it is possible to implement any computational procedure.

explanation

## Photo Credits

## Bibliography