

## sc.1 Decidability

int:sc:dec:  
sec Observe that the proof of the completeness theorem gives us for every  $\Gamma \not\models \varphi$  a model with an infinite number of worlds witnessing the fact that  $\Gamma \not\models \varphi$ . The following proposition shows that to prove  $\models \varphi$  it is enough to prove that  $\mathfrak{M} \Vdash \varphi$  for all finite models (i.e., models with a finite set of worlds).

int:sc:dec:  
thm:decidability **Theorem sc.1.** *If  $\not\models \varphi$  then there is a finite model  $\mathfrak{M}' \not\models \varphi$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $\mathfrak{M} = \langle W, R, V \rangle$  is such that  $\mathfrak{M} \not\models \varphi$  and  $P$  is the set of **propositional variables** occurring in  $\varphi$ . Define  $\mathfrak{M}' = \langle W', R', V' \rangle$  by letting  $W' = \{[w] : w \in W\}$  where  $[w] = \{p \in P : w \in V(p)\}$ ,  $R'$  be the subset relation, and  $V'(p) = \{[w] : p \in [w]\}$ . It should be clear that  $W'$  is a finite set and that  $\mathfrak{M}'$  is a **relational model**.

It can be shown, by induction on  $\varphi$ , that

$$\mathfrak{M}, w \Vdash \varphi \text{ iff } \mathfrak{M}', [w] \Vdash \varphi$$

for all **formulas**  $\varphi$  with only **propositional variables** from  $P$ . This is left as an exercise for the reader.  $\square$

**Problem sc.1.** Finish the proof of **Theorem sc.1** by showing that  $\mathfrak{M}, w \Vdash \varphi$  iff  $\mathfrak{M}', [w] \Vdash \varphi$  for all **formulas**  $\varphi$  with only propositional variables from  $P$ .

From **Theorem sc.1** it follows that there is an algorithm to decide whether  $\models \varphi$ .

## Photo Credits

## Bibliography