

int.1 Axiomatic Derivations

int:int:axd:
sec
Axiomatic **derivations** for intuitionistic propositional logic are the conceptually simplest, and historically first, **derivation** systems. They work just as in classical propositional logic.

Definition int.1 (Derivability). If Γ is a set of **formulas** of \mathcal{L} then a **derivation** from Γ is a finite sequence $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ of **formulas** where for each $i \leq n$ one of the following holds:

1. $\varphi_i \in \Gamma$; or
2. φ_i is an axiom; or
3. φ_i follows from some φ_j and φ_k with $j < i$ and $k < i$ by modus ponens, i.e., $\varphi_k \equiv \varphi_j \rightarrow \varphi_i$.

Definition int.2 (Axioms). The set of Ax_0 of *axioms* for the intuitionistic propositional logic are all **formulas** of the following forms:

- | | | |
|--------------------------|---|-----|
| int:int:axd: | $(\varphi \wedge \psi) \rightarrow \varphi$ | (1) |
| ax:land1
int:int:axd: | $(\varphi \wedge \psi) \rightarrow \psi$ | (2) |
| ax:land2
int:int:axd: | $\varphi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow (\varphi \wedge \psi))$ | (3) |
| ax:land3
int:int:axd: | $\varphi \rightarrow (\varphi \vee \psi)$ | (4) |
| ax:lor1
int:int:axd: | $\varphi \rightarrow (\psi \vee \varphi)$ | (5) |
| ax:lor2
int:int:axd: | $(\varphi \rightarrow \chi) \rightarrow ((\psi \rightarrow \chi) \rightarrow ((\varphi \vee \psi) \rightarrow \chi))$ | (6) |
| ax:lor3
int:int:axd: | $\varphi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \varphi)$ | (7) |
| ax:lif1
int:int:axd: | $(\varphi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \chi)) \rightarrow ((\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \chi))$ | (8) |
| ax:lif2
int:int:axd: | $\perp \rightarrow \varphi$ | (9) |

Definition int.3 (Derivability). A formula φ is **derivable** from Γ , written $\Gamma \vdash \varphi$, if there is a **derivation** from Γ ending in φ .

Definition int.4 (Theorems). A formula φ is a **theorem** if there is a **derivation** of φ from the empty set. We write $\vdash \varphi$ if φ is a theorem and $\not\vdash \varphi$ if it is not.

Proposition int.5. *If $\Gamma \vdash \varphi$ in intuitionistic logic, $\Gamma \vdash \varphi$ in classical logic. In particular, if φ is an intuitionistic theorem, it is also a classical theorem.*

Proof. Every intuitionistic axiom is also a classical axiom, so every **derivation** in intuitionistic logic is also a **derivation** in classical logic. \square

Photo Credits

Bibliography