

## req.1 Simulating Primitive Recursion

inc:req:pri:  
sec Now we can show that definition by primitive recursion can be “simulated” by regular minimization using the beta function. Suppose we have  $f(\vec{x})$  and  $g(\vec{x}, y, z)$ . Then the function  $h(x, \vec{z})$  defined from  $f$  and  $g$  by primitive recursion is

$$\begin{aligned}h(\vec{x}, y) &= f(\vec{z}) \\h(\vec{x}, y + 1) &= g(\vec{x}, y, h(\vec{x}, y)).\end{aligned}$$

We need to show that  $h$  can be defined from  $f$  and  $g$  using just composition and regular minimization, using the basic functions and functions defined from them using composition and regular minimization (such as  $\beta$ ).

inc:req:pri:  
lem:prim-rec **Lemma req.1.** *If  $h$  can be defined from  $f$  and  $g$  using primitive recursion, it can be defined from  $f$ ,  $g$ , the functions zero, succ,  $P_i^n$ , add, mult,  $\chi_=$ , using composition and regular minimization.*

*Proof.* First, define an auxiliary function  $\hat{h}(\vec{x}, y)$  which returns the least number  $d$  such that  $d$  codes a sequence which satisfies

1.  $(d)_0 = f(\vec{x})$ , and
2. for each  $i < x$ ,  $(d)_{i+1} = g(\vec{x}, i, (d)_i)$ ,

where now  $(d)_i$  is short for  $\beta(d, i)$ . In other words,  $\hat{h}$  returns the sequence  $\langle h(\vec{x}, 0), h(\vec{x}, 1), \dots, h(\vec{x}, y) \rangle$ . We can write  $\hat{h}$  as

$$\hat{h}(\vec{x}, y) = \mu d (\beta(d, 0) = f(\vec{x}) \wedge (\forall i < y) \beta(d, i + 1) = g(\vec{x}, i, \beta(d, i))).$$

Note: no primitive recursion is needed here, just minimization. The function we minimize is regular because of the beta function lemma ??.

But now we have

$$h(\vec{x}, y) = \beta(\hat{h}(\vec{x}, y), y),$$

so  $h$  can be defined from the basic functions using just composition and regular minimization.  $\square$

## Photo Credits

## Bibliography