

req.1 The Beta Function Lemma

inc:req:bet:
sec

In order to show that we can carry out primitive recursion if addition, multiplication, and $\chi_=_$ are available, we need to develop functions that handle sequences. (If we had exponentiation as well, our task would be easier.) When we had primitive recursion, we could define things like the “ n -th prime,” and pick a fairly straightforward coding. But here we do not have primitive recursion—in fact we want to show that we can do primitive recursion using minimization—so we need to be more clever.

inc:req:bet:
lem:beta

Lemma req.1. *There is a function $\beta(d, i)$ such that for every sequence a_0, \dots, a_n there is a number d , such that for every $i \leq n$, $\beta(d, i) = a_i$. Moreover, β can be defined from the basic functions using just composition and regular minimization.*

Think of d as coding the sequence $\langle a_0, \dots, a_n \rangle$, and $\beta(d, i)$ returning the i -th element. (Note that this “coding” does *not* use the power-of-primes coding we’re already familiar with!). The lemma is fairly minimal; it doesn’t say we can concatenate sequences or append elements, or even that we can *compute* d from a_0, \dots, a_n using functions definable by composition and regular minimization. All it says is that there is a “decoding” function such that every sequence is “coded.”

The use of the notation β is Gödel’s. To repeat, the hard part of proving the lemma is defining a suitable β using the seemingly restricted resources, i.e., using just composition and minimization—however, we’re allowed to use addition, multiplication, and $\chi_=_$. There are various ways to prove this lemma, but one of the cleanest is still Gödel’s original method, which used a number-theoretic fact called Sunzi’s Theorem (traditionally, the “Chinese Remainder Theorem”).

Definition req.2. Two natural numbers a and b are *relatively prime* iff their greatest common divisor is 1; in other words, they have no other divisors in common.

Definition req.3. Natural numbers a and b are *congruent modulo* c , $a \equiv b \pmod{c}$, iff $c \mid (a - b)$, i.e., a and b have the same remainder when divided by c .

Here is Sunzi’s Theorem:

Theorem req.4. *Suppose x_0, \dots, x_n are (pairwise) relatively prime. Let y_0, \dots, y_n be any numbers. Then there is a number z such that*

$$\begin{aligned} z &\equiv y_0 \pmod{x_0} \\ z &\equiv y_1 \pmod{x_1} \\ &\vdots \\ z &\equiv y_n \pmod{x_n}. \end{aligned}$$

Here is how we will use Sunzi's Theorem: if x_0, \dots, x_n are bigger than y_0, \dots, y_n respectively, then we can take z to code the sequence $\langle y_0, \dots, y_n \rangle$. To recover y_i , we need only divide z by x_i and take the remainder. To use this coding, we will need to find suitable values for x_0, \dots, x_n .

A couple of observations will help us in this regard. Given y_0, \dots, y_n , let

$$j = \max(n, y_0, \dots, y_n) + 1,$$

and let

$$\begin{aligned} x_0 &= 1 + j! \\ x_1 &= 1 + 2 \cdot j! \\ x_2 &= 1 + 3 \cdot j! \\ &\vdots \\ x_n &= 1 + (n+1) \cdot j! \end{aligned}$$

Then two things are true:

1. x_0, \dots, x_n are relatively prime.
2. For each i , $y_i < x_i$.

inc:req:bet:
rel-prime
inc:req:bet:
less

To see that (1) is true, note that if p is a prime number and $p \mid x_i$ and $p \mid x_k$, then $p \mid 1 + (i+1)j!$ and $p \mid 1 + (k+1)j!$. But then p divides their difference,

$$(1 + (i+1)j!) - (1 + (k+1)j!) = (i-k)j!.$$

Since p divides $1 + (i+1)j!$, it can't divide $j!$ as well (otherwise, the first division would leave a remainder of 1). So p divides $i-k$, since p divides $(i-k)j!$. But $|i-k|$ is at most n , and we have chosen $j > n$, so this implies that $p \mid j!$, again a contradiction. So there is no prime number dividing both x_i and x_k . Clause (2) is easy: we have $y_i < j < j! < x_i$.

Now let us prove the β function lemma. Remember that we can use 0, successor, plus, times, $\chi=$, projections, and any function defined from them using composition and minimization applied to regular functions. We can also use a relation if its characteristic function is so definable. As before we can show that these relations are closed under Boolean combinations and bounded quantification; for example:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{not}(x) &= \chi_=(x, 0) \\ (\min x \leq z) R(x, y) &= \mu x (R(x, y) \vee x = z) \\ (\exists x \leq z) R(x, y) &\Leftrightarrow R((\min x \leq z) R(x, y), y) \end{aligned}$$

We can then show that all of the following are also definable without primitive recursion:

1. The pairing function, $J(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}[(x+y)(x+y+1)] + x$;

2. the projection functions

$$\begin{aligned} K(z) &= (\min x \leq z) (\exists y \leq z) z = J(x, y), \\ L(z) &= (\min y \leq z) (\exists x \leq z) z = J(x, y); \end{aligned}$$

3. the less-than relation $x < y$;

4. the divisibility relation $x \mid y$;

5. the function $\text{rem}(x, y)$ which returns the remainder when y is divided by x .

Now define

$$\begin{aligned} \beta^*(d_0, d_1, i) &= \text{rem}(1 + (i + 1)d_1, d_0) \text{ and} \\ \beta(d, i) &= \beta^*(K(d), L(d), i). \end{aligned}$$

This is the function we want. Given a_0, \dots, a_n as above, let

$$j = \max(n, a_0, \dots, a_n) + 1,$$

and let $d_1 = j!$. By (1) above, we know that $1 + d_1, 1 + 2d_1, \dots, 1 + (n + 1)d_1$ are relatively prime, and by (2) that all are greater than a_0, \dots, a_n . By Sunzi's Theorem there is a value d_0 such that for each i ,

$$d_0 \equiv a_i \pmod{1 + (i + 1)d_1}$$

and so (because d_1 is greater than a_i),

$$a_i = \text{rem}(1 + (i + 1)d_1, d_0).$$

Let $d = J(d_0, d_1)$. Then for each $i \leq n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(d, i) &= \beta^*(d_0, d_1, i) \\ &= \text{rem}(1 + (i + 1)d_1, d_0) \\ &= a_i \end{aligned}$$

which is what we need. This completes the proof of the β -function lemma.

Problem req.1. Show that the relations $x < y$, $x \mid y$, and the function $\text{rem}(x, y)$ can be defined without primitive recursion. You may use 0, successor, plus, times, $\chi_=$, projections, and bounded minimization and quantification.

Photo Credits

Bibliography