Coding Terms art.1

inc:art:trm: A term is simply a certain kind of sequence of symbols: it is built up inductively explanation from constants and variables according to the formation rules for terms. Since sequences of symbols can be coded as numbers—using a coding scheme for the symbols plus a way to code sequences of numbers—assigning Gödel numbers to terms is not difficult. The challenge is rather to show that the property a number has if it is the Gödel number of a correctly formed term is computable, or in fact primitive recursive.

> Variables and constant symbols are the simplest terms, and testing whether x is the Gödel number of such a term is easy: Var(x) holds if x is $\frac{v_i}{v_i}$ for some i. In other words, x is a sequence of length 1 and its single element $(x)_0$ is the code of some variable v_i , i.e., x is $\langle \langle 1, i \rangle \rangle$ for some i. Similarly, Const(x) holds if x is ${}^{\#}c_i{}^{\#}$ for some i. Both of these relations are primitive recursive, since if such an *i* exists, it must be < x:

$$Var(x) \Leftrightarrow (\exists i < x) \ x = \langle \langle 1, i \rangle \rangle$$
$$Const(x) \Leftrightarrow (\exists i < x) \ x = \langle \langle 2, i \rangle \rangle$$

inc:art:trm: **Proposition art.1.** The relations Term(x) and ClTerm(x) which hold iff x prop:term-primrec is the Gödel number of a term or a closed term, respectively, are primitive recursive.

> *Proof.* A sequence of symbols s is a term iff there is a sequence $s_0, \ldots, s_{k-1} = s$ of terms which records how the term s was formed from constant symbols and variables according to the formation rules for terms. To express that such a putative formation sequence follows the formation rules it has to be the case that, for each i < k, either

- 1. s_i is a variable v_j , or
- 2. s_i is a constant symbol c_i , or
- 3. s_i is built from n terms t_1, \ldots, t_n occurring prior to place i using an *n*-place function symbol f_i^n .

To show that the corresponding relation on Gödel numbers is primitive recursive, we have to express this condition primitive recursively, i.e., using primitive recursive functions, relations, and bounded quantification.

Suppose y is the number that codes the sequence s_0, \ldots, s_{k-1} , i.e., y = $\langle {}^{\#}s_0{}^{\#}, \ldots, {}^{\#}s_{k-1}{}^{\#} \rangle$. It codes a formation sequence for the term with Gödel number x iff for all i < k:

- 1. $\operatorname{Var}((y)_i)$, or
- 2. $\operatorname{Const}((y)_i)$, or

coding-terms rev: 016d2bc (2024-06-22) by OLP / CC-BY

3. there is an n and a number $z = \langle z_1, \ldots, z_n \rangle$ such that each z_l is equal to some $(y)_{i'}$ for i' < i and

$$(y)_i = {}^{\#} f_j^n ({}^{\#} \frown \operatorname{flatten}(z) \frown {}^{\#})^{\#},$$

and moreover $(y)_{k-1} = x$. (The function flatten(z) turns the sequence $\langle \#t_1 \#, \dots, \#t_n \# \rangle$ into $#t_1, \ldots, t_n^{\#}$ and is primitive recursive.)

The indices j, n, the Gödel numbers z_l of the terms t_l , and the code z of the sequence $\langle z_1, \ldots, z_n \rangle$, in (3) are all less than y. We can replace k above with len(y). Hence we can express "y is the code of a formation sequence of the term with Gödel number x^{n} in a way that shows that this relation is primitive recursive.

We now just have to convince ourselves that there is a primitive recursive bound on y. But if x is the Gödel number of a term, it must have a formation sequence with at most len(x) terms (since every term in the formation sequence of s must start at some place in s, and no two subterms can start at the same place). The Gödel number of each subterm of s is of course $\leq x$. Hence, there always is a formation sequence with code $\leq p_{k-1}^{k(x+1)}$, where k = len(x). For ClTerm, simply leave out the clause for variables.

Problem art.1. Show that the function flatten(z), which turns the sequence $\langle {}^{\#}t_1{}^{\#},\ldots,{}^{\#}t_n{}^{\#}\rangle$ into ${}^{\#}t_1,\ldots,t_n{}^{\#}$, is primitive recursive.

Proposition art.2. The function $num(n) = {}^{\bigstar}\overline{n}^{\#}$ is primitive recursive.

inc:art:trm: prop:num-primrec

Proof. We define num(n) by primitive recursion:

$$num(0) = {}^{\#}0^{\#}$$
$$num(n+1) = {}^{\#}/({}^{\#} \frown num(n) \frown {}^{\#})^{\#}.$$

Photo Credits

Bibliography