There is another way to obtain a set of total functions. Say a total function \( f(x, \vec{z}) \) is \textit{regular} if for every sequence of natural numbers \( \vec{z} \), there is an \( x \) such that \( f(x, \vec{z}) = 0 \). In other words, the regular functions are exactly those functions to which one can apply unbounded search, and end up with a total function. One can, conservatively, restrict unbounded search to regular functions:

**Definition rec.1.** The set of \textit{general recursive functions} is the smallest set of functions from the natural numbers to the natural numbers (of various arities) containing zero, successor, and projections, and closed under composition, primitive recursion, and unbounded search applied to \textit{regular} functions.

Clearly every general recursive function is total. The difference between **Definition rec.1** and ?? is that in the latter one is allowed to use partial recursive functions along the way; the only requirement is that the function you end up with at the end is total. So the word “general,” a historic relic, is a misnomer; on the surface, **Definition rec.1** is less general than ???. But, fortunately, the difference is illusory; though the definitions are different, the set of general recursive functions and the set of recursive functions are one and the same.
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