thy.1 Totality is Undecidable

cmp:thy:tot:sec

Let us consider one more example of using the *s-m-n* theorem to show that something is noncomputable. Let Tot be the set of indices of total computable functions, i.e.

$$\text{Tot} = \{x : \text{for every } y,\ \varphi_x(y)\downarrow\}.$$

cmp:thy:tot:prop:total

Proposition thy.1. Tot *is not computable.*

Proof. To see that Tot is not computable, it suffices to show that K is reducible to it. Let $h(x, y)$ be defined by

$$h(x,y) \simeq \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in K \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Note that $h(x, y)$ does not depend on y at all. It should not be hard to see that h is partial computable: on input x, y, the we compute h by first simulating the function φ_x on input x; if this computation halts, $h(x, y)$ outputs 0 and halts. So $h(x, y)$ is just $Z(\mu s\, T(x, x, s))$, where Z is the constant zero function.

Using the *s-m-n* theorem, there is a primitive recursive function $k(x)$ such that for every x and y,

$$\varphi_{k(x)}(y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \in K \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

So $\varphi_{k(x)}$ is total if $x \in K$, and undefined otherwise. Thus, k is a reduction of K to Tot. □

digression

It turns out that Tot is not even computably enumerable—its complexity lies further up on the "arithmetic hierarchy." But we will not worry about this strengthening here.

Photo Credits

Bibliography