## Computably Enumerable Sets not Closed under thy.1 Complement

cmp:thy:cmp:

Suppose A is computably enumerable. Is the complement of A,  $\overline{A} = \mathbb{N} \setminus$ A, necessarily computably enumerable as well? The following theorem and corollary show that the answer is "no."

cmp:thy:cmp: thm:ce-comp

**Theorem thy.1.** Let A be any set of natural numbers. Then A is computable if and only if both A and  $\overline{A}$  are computably enumerable.

*Proof.* The forwards direction is easy: if A is computable, then  $\overline{A}$  is computable as well  $(\chi_A = 1 - \chi_{\overline{A}})$ , and so both are computably enumerable.

In the other direction, suppose A and  $\overline{A}$  are both computably enumerable. Let A be the domain of  $\varphi_d$ , and let  $\overline{A}$  be the domain of  $\varphi_e$ . Define h by

$$h(x) = \mu s \ (T(d, x, s) \lor T(e, x, s)).$$

In other words, on input x, h searches for either a halting computation of  $\varphi_d$ or a halting computation of  $\varphi_e$ . Now, if  $x \in A$ , it will succeed in the first case, and if  $x \in A$ , it will succeed in the second case. So, h is a total computable function. But now we have that for every  $x, x \in A$  if and only if T(e, x, h(x)), i.e., if  $\varphi_e$  is the one that is defined. Since T(e, x, h(x)) is a computable relation, A is computable. 

It is easier to understand what is going on in informal computational terms: explanation to decide A, on input x search for halting computations of  $\varphi_e$  and  $\varphi_f$ . One of them is bound to halt; if it is  $\varphi_e$ , then x is in A, and otherwise, x is in  $\overline{A}$ .

cmp:thy:cmp: cor:comp-k Corollary thy.2.  $\overline{K_0}$  is not computably enumerable.

*Proof.* We know that  $K_0$  is computably enumerable, but not computable. If  $\overline{K_0}$ were computably enumerable, then  $K_0$  would be computable by Theorem thy.1.

Photo Credits

**Bibliography**